




Area ID**	Area ac	Accum. Area ac	C2	C100	Tc2 min	Tc100 min	I2 in/hr	I100 in/hr	Q2 cfs	Q100 cfs	Inlet Size ft	Pipe Size in	Min Slope %	COMMENTS
A	1.8		0.44	0.61	27	20	2.84	6.53	2.25	7.17	10	15	1.00%	100 yr design, sump
B	1.0		0.44	0.61	22	17	3.17	7.00	1.39	4.27	5			100 yr design, sump
A+B	2.8		0.44	0.61	23	17	3.73	7.00	4.60	11.96		18	1.40%	100 yr design
C	2.1		0.44	0.61	20	15	3.33	7.37	3.08	9.44	5	15	0.30%	2 yr design, bypass
D	1.2		0.44	0.61	20	15	3.33	7.37	1.76	5.39	5			2 yr design, bypass
A+B+C+D	6.1		0.44	0.61	23	17	3.73	7.00	10.01	26.05		24	1.00%	2 yr design (Area C+D = 8cfs) + 100yr design (Area A+B = 12cfs) = 20cfs
E	4.2		0.44	0.61	27	18	2.84	6.84	5.25	17.52	15			100 yr design, accept bypass from Area C and D (Q=35cfs), sump
F	3.9		0.44	0.61	27	18	2.84	6.84	4.87	16.27	15			100 yr design, sump
A+B+C+D+E+F	14.2		0.44	0.61	27	20	2.84	6.53	17.74	56.56		36	1.00%	
G	2.6		0.44	0.61	22	16	3.17	7.18	3.63	11.39	10	18	1.00%	100 yr design, sump
H	3.1		0.44	0.61	22	16	3.17	7.18	4.32	13.58	10			100 yr design, sump
G+H	5.7		0.44	0.61	27	20	2.84	6.53	7.12	22.70		24	1.00%	
I	0.4		0.44	0.61	15	15	3.83	7.37	0.67	1.80	18		1.00%	100 yr design, min. Cross Road Pipe Diam. = 18"
J	22.2		0.44	0.61	55	42	1.81	4.54	17.68	61.48				
A-I (undeveloped)	42.5		0.20	0.41	75	55	1.43	3.92	12.16	68.31				Undeveloped Conditions
A-I (developed)	42.5		0.44	0.61	55	42	1.81	4.54	33.85	117.70		36	1.00%	100 yr design store difference between developed and undeveloped conditions
K	3.0		0.44	0.61	27	20	2.84	6.53	3.75	11.95				

f:\wp\project\1996\06167\drain.xls
Revised 4/3/97

1 of 2

Mid-Kansas Engineering Consultants, Inc.

Area ID**	Area ac	Accum. Area ac	C2	C100	Tc2 min	Tc100 min	I2 in/hr	I100 in/hr	Q2 cfs	Q100 cfs	Inlet Size ft	Pipe Size in	Min Slope %	COMMENTS
L	2.4		0.44	0.61	27	20	2.84	6.53	3.00	9.56				
M	3.7		0.44	0.61	27	20	2.84	6.53	4.62	14.74				
K+L+M	9.1		0.44	0.61	27	20	2.84	6.53	11.37	36.25	Ditch Inlet	36	0.50%	100 yr design, regrade ditch along 29th Street, west of Tyler Proposed Ditch along 29th - side slope 3:1, slope = 0.3%, Depth = 1.5
N1	3.1		0.44	0.61	20	15	3.33	7.37	4.54	13.94				
N2	1.9		0.44	0.61	15	15	4.56	7.37	3.81	8.54				
O	5.0		0.25	0.45	20	15	3.33	7.37	4.16	16.58				
N1+N2+O (developed)	10.0		0.35	0.53	20	15	3.33	7.37	11.49	39.06		36	0.30%	100 yr design, developed condition, pipe across Tyler carries less than undeveloped condition, coordinated construction w/ county CJP Proj.
K+L+M+N+O (undeveloped)	19.1		0.20	0.41	45	35	2.08	5.00	7.95	39.16				undeveloped condition, must not exceed this flow

Note:
All Drainage Areas assumed Type B Soil Conditions
with 1/4 acre lots

f:\wp\project\1996\06167\drain.xls
Revised 4/3/97

2 of 2

Mid-Kansas Engineering Consultants, Inc.

Area ID**	Area ac	Accum. Area ac	C2	C100	Tc2 min	Tc100 min	I2 in/hr	I100 in/hr	Q2 cfs	Q100 cfs	Inlet Size ft	Pipe Size in	Min Slope %	COMMENTS
A	1.8		0.44	0.61	27	20	2.84	6.53	2.25	7.17	10	15	1.00%	100 yr design, sump
B	1.0		0.44	0.61	22	17	3.17	7.00	1.39	4.27	5			100 yr design, sump
A+B	2.8		0.44	0.61	23	17	3.73	7.00	4.60	11.96		18	1.40%	100 yr design
C	2.1		0.44	0.61	20	15	3.33	7.37	3.08	9.44	5	15	0.30%	2 yr design, bypass
D	1.2		0.44	0.61	20	15	3.33	7.37	1.76	5.39	5			2 yr design, bypass
A+B+C+D	6.1		0.44	0.61	23	17	3.73	7.00	10.01	26.05		24	1.00%	2 yr design (Area C+D = 8cfs) + 100yr design (Area A+B = 12cfs) = 20cfs
E	4.2		0.44	0.61	27	18	2.84	6.84	5.25	17.52	15			100 yr design, accept bypass from Area C and D (Q=35cfs), sump
F	3.9		0.44	0.61	27	18	2.84	6.84	4.87	16.27	15			100 yr design, sump
A+B+C+D+E+F	14.2		0.44	0.61	27	20	2.84	6.53	17.74	56.56		36	1.00%	
G	2.6		0.44	0.61	22	16	3.17	7.18	3.63	11.39	10	18	1.00%	100 yr design, sump
H	3.1		0.44	0.61	22	16	3.17	7.18	4.32	13.58	10			100 yr design, sump
G+H	5.7		0.44	0.61	27	20	2.84	6.53	7.12	22.70		24	1.00%	
I	0.4		0.44	0.61	15	15	3.83	7.37	0.67	1.80	18		1.00%	100 yr design, min. Cross Road Pipe Diam. = 18"
J	22.2		0.44	0.61	55	42	1.81	4.54	17.68	61.48				
A-I (undeveloped)	42.5		0.20	0.41	75	55	1.43	3.92	12.16	68.31				Undeveloped Conditions
A-I (developed)	42.5		0.44	0.61	55	42	1.81	4.54	33.85	117.70		36	1.00%	100 yr design store difference between developed and undeveloped conditions
K	3.0		0.44	0.61	27	20	2.84	6.53	3.75	11.95				

f:\wp\project\1996\06167\drain.xls


1 of 2

Mid-Kansas Engineering Consultants, Inc.


DRAINAGE ANALYSIS SUMMARY
OAK RIDGE SECOND ADDITION

Area ID**	Area ac	Accum. Area ac	C2	C100	Tc2 min	Tc100 min	I2 in/hr	I100 in/hr	Q2 cfs	Q100 cfs	Inlet Size ft	Pipe Size in	Min Slope %	COMMENTS
L	2.4		0.44	0.61	27	20	2.84	6.53	3.00	9.56				
K + L		5.4	0.44	0.61	27	20	2.84	6.53	6.75	21.51	Ditch Inlet	24	0.50%	100 yr design, regrade ditch along 29th Street, west of Tyler
M	6.8		0.44	0.61	32	25	2.57	5.90	7.69	24.47				
N	1.9		0.44	0.61	20	15	3.33	7.37	2.78	8.54				
O	5.0		0.25	0.45	15	15	4.56	7.37	5.70	16.58				
M + N + O (undeveloped)		13.7	0.20	0.41	60	45	1.69	4.38	4.63	24.60				undeveloped condition
M + N + O (developed)		13.7	0.37	0.55	30	23	2.67	6.13	13.56	46.32		42	0.50%	100 yr design, developed condition allow developed condition to cross road


Note:
All Drainage Areas assumed Type B Soil Conditions
with 1/4 acre lots



NOTE: LOTS 4-14, BLOCK 3 MIN. PAD EL. = 158.0



VIEW OUT & PARTIAL VIEW OUT DETAIL



LEGEND

W.O. = WALK OUT
V.O. = VIEW OUT
P.V.O. = PARTIAL VIEW OUT
NO V.O. = NO VIEW OUT

REVISED: SEPTEMBER 1998



MID-KANSAS ENGINEERING
CONSULTANTS, INC.
411 N WEBB ROAD
WICHITA, KS. 67206
316-684-9600

OAK RIDGE SECOND
PROJECT NAME

GRADING PLAN
SHEET TITLE

JTC
DESIGN BY:

DECEMBER 1997
DATE

GJR/DAC
DRAWN BY:

GRADING
JOB NO.

JTC
CHECKED BY:

1 / 1
SHEET OF 209

