

Law Office of
Calvin L. Wiebe, P.A.
727 N. Waco, Suite 165
P.O. Box 675
Wichita, Kansas 67201-0675

Telephone (316) 265-6300

Fax (316) 267-4331

May 10, 2002

RECEIVED
MAY 13 2002

Via fax: (316) 722-1611, Hard copy by mail

COPY

Paul Kelsey
Kelsey Investments
716 N. 119th St. W.
Wichita, KS 67235

Re: Willowbend North, homeowners meeting 5/16

Dear Mr. Kelsey:

I'm working on behalf of Pam and Jerry Johnson, who live in Willowbend North at 4414 N. Shadow Glen. Like all homeowners in any development, the Johnsons are concerned with the value of their house and the general maintenance and appearance of the development and their neighborhood. This is a particular concern to the Johnsons as they have their house listed for sale, and have for some time.

I understand that there is a Homeowners Meeting Thursday, May 16, at 7:00 P.M. in a model home at 4431 Cherry Hill. I also understand that monthly dues are on the meeting agenda. There are several other concerns which must be addressed as well:

1. Discharge of sump pump water, and sump pump piping across the lawn, at 4416 N. Barton Creek Court, and several other homes in the development.
2. Various sprinkler systems are filling the street gutters with water when those sprinkler systems come on, and
3. Construction site trash and debris.

The Johnsons are members of Willowbend North Homeowners Association. As such, on behalf of my clients, I request that we receive by this Tuesday, May 14, 2002, copies of the Articles of Incorporation, the by-laws, most recent minutes showing election of directors and officers, and any rules and regulations for Willowbend North which the Homeowners Association has adopted.

It is very important that these issues of sump pump and sprinkler discharge and construction trash and debris be addressed. Of course, you have an investment in this area, Legacy Bank has an investment, all of the homeowners have an investment. It is in our mutual interests to make sure that a good market exists for new homes and resale of homes in this area.

Discharge of sump pump water into a public street is against city ordinance. The running of the sump pump discharge pipe by the builder, JMC, Inc., from the house at 4416 N. Barton Creek Court to the street should never have been done, but it's certainly not Mr. Cisneros doing. The house was done that way when he bought it. However, this does need to be changed. This has been a concern of my clients for some time as shown by a October 1, 2001 letter to JMC, Inc., on which Kelsey Investments and Legacy Bank were copied. Further, Legacy Bank received a letter of July 5, 2001 which expressed these same types of concerns about 4416 N. Barton



Copy to TC-SL-ML-
DM-mk-CCarrier
4/17/00

April 14, 2000

RECEIVED
APR 18 2000

Mr. Gary O'Neal
Mayor - City of Bel Aire
4551 N Auburn
Wichita, KS 67220

Re: Willowbend North Development

Dear Mr. Mayor:

As you may or may not know, I am the developer of Willowbend North. This project has been going on for a little over 2 years now and is located ½ mile east of Woodlawn on the south side of 45th Street North. The first phase, the Patio Home phase, is nearly 50% complete. The second phase, the Estates section, is just in the process of having infrastructure installed. This is the part that I would like to address with you.

I'm sure you are aware of what is going on with the storm sewer portion of this project. I would like to make a couple of points however.

1. I first became aware that there was a problem on December 1, 1999. That is when the storm sewer contractor moved equipment on the job to install their portion of the project. After a few days of nothing happening and then all of a sudden, his equipment was gone, I became a little curious. I contacted my engineering firm, Mid Kansas Engineering, to find out what was happening. It was then that I learned that we apparently had a problem with being able to run the storm sewer like it was designed because of a right of way issue. Keep in mind, at this point in time, the street excavation had already taken place and was ready for curbing and paving. The more I got into this, the more that it became apparent that the issue was between the City of Wichita and the City of Bel Aire.
2. 62 days later, which may seem minor to some, but to me that means 62 days worth of interest which amounts to several thousand dollars, that I am just out because my project is for all practical purposes, shut down, the contractor finally showed back up. By seeing their equipment back on the job, I thought things were resolved. This was an error on my part. I then met with the City of Wichita's Development Review Committee to discuss this; I was told that this was now going to be a multiple phase project to allow time to work out the issue of the right of way. Phase I would consist



of running the storm sewer through the berm, so that the street construction could continue, and letting it percolate up and drain away. Phase II would be to come back and complete the installation as was originally designed. Yesterday, I learned that for all practical purposes, there will be no phase II.

3. What this now means is that I have a berm that I installed for a couple of reasons being destroyed. One being the aesthetic value that it offers driving down 45th street. The other being the buffer that it creates between 45th street and the interior streets of my development. Notwithstanding the money that has already been spent for this, this will seriously threaten the marketability of my development.

Now I don't know and really don't care to, the reasons why this all came about. What I do know is that I seem to be the person caught in the middle of a "Hattfield & McCoy" situation.

I don't have a problem playing by the rules. I serve on the Wichita Area Metropolitan Planning Commission and fully understand the rules of development. The only problem, is when the rules change in the middle of the game. If I had known that this was going to be a problem from the start, I could have designed the streets differently and the storm water discharge system differently. Since we had already installed a 16" water line in this same right of way a couple of years ago, there was no reason to suspect that running the storm sewer in the same right of way would be a problem.

What I have now have is going to cause problems in more ways than one. There will be standing water in the area where the inlet has been installed. When it rains, this fills up with about a foot of water. The only way for it to get out of there is through evaporation. This is going to become stagnant and cause a health and safety issue. Not to mention the appearance. It is going to raise, as it already has, several questions from prospective buyers. I really am concerned that this will have a severe negative impact on the sales in this development.

I have tried to be a good neighbor to Bel Aire. Your citizens use our fountain area on a regular basis. They walk there quite often, they have picnics there, and in general have seemed to enjoy our immenities. Your police department uses this area quite often as a place to locate to control the speed on 45th. This is fine. They have been more than cooperative and helpful to me during the course of construction. They have kept an eye on things late in the evening as they are making their routine patrols. That is a big piece of mind not only to me, but also to the residents who live there. I have come to know several of them and enjoy talking to them. They even used one of the pictures that I took for them at our fountain with their youth group and put it on a calendar. I think this is wonderful. I have spent a lot of time and money on this project with the intent that it would be utilized by the public and appreciated. I wanted to create something really special for all those that could share in it, and feel that I have done so. I certainly don't want to



do anything to discourage the public use of this facility in any way. On the same token, I don't want to be used as a pawn.

I honestly feel that even though you have now passed an ordinance that does not allow the City of Wichita the right to run any of their utilities in your rights of ways, that an exception should be made in this case. The City of Bel Aire is not going to be pleased with the aesthetics of this any more than I am. The City of Bel Aire, or someone, has been re-grading the ditch on the north side of 45th to prevent standing water. It seems hard for me to understand why you would not want to continue that look on the south side of the street. With no cost to Bel Aire, and a benefit to both of us, why not let it happen? Let's not get off base with emotions.

I strongly ask that you reconsider this issue and that you and I and whom ever else you wish, could meet face to face to discuss this. There is always a way to work things out as long as the communication lines are kept open.

Once again, I feel like I am being penalized for something that is completely out of my control. I have followed the procedures that I was supposed to and I again want to emphasize the financial ramifications that this seemingly unnecessary situation could have on me.

If this project is allowed to be installed as per the design, we both win! Isn't that the ultimate goal?

If you haven't already driven by there lately, I ask that you do this so that you can see what I am talking about.

Thank you for listening. I will contact you by telephone in a couple of days to see if it is possible to arrange a meeting.

Respectfully,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "J. Michaelis", is written over the typed name and title.

Jerry Michaelis
President

JDM

cc: Tim Johnson
Bob Knight
Joe Piscotte
Chris Churches

CARRIER C

From: CARRIER_C
Sent: Wednesday, April 12, 2000 11:46 AM
To: SCHROEDER_K; LINDEBAK_M; HUANG_V; KROUT_M
Cc: LACKEY_S; LASHLEY_S
Subject: Lake Ridge 2nd Addition

Sensitivity: Private

Through a complaint I recently received, I learned that Randy Dean, the developer of Lakeridge 2nd Addition, let over half the lots in the subdivision go to tax sale earlier this year. Most were sold to various individuals, most of whom have no idea that a drainage plan even exists. So, we could be in for quite a mess if we're not careful. I would strongly recommend that no building permits be issued on lots within this area unless the owner brings in a site plan prepared by Baughman Company, the firm that did the original drainage plan. I don't know how else we can control this! Might keep an eye on any other areas he is working in!!

**SUBDIVISION COMMITTEE
METROPOLITAN AREA PLANNING COMMISSION**

AGENDA ITEM NO. 8

October 15, 1992

STAFF REPORT
(Final Plat, Preliminary Approved 3/19/92)

CASE NUMBER: S/D 92-47 - LAKE RIDGE 2ND ADDITION

OWNER/APPLICANT: Randy Dean, 1147 Coachhouse Ct., Wichita, KS 67235

SURVEYOR/ENGINEER: Baughman Company, P. A., 315 Ellis, Wichita, KS 67211

LOCATION: North of 21st Street North and east of Ridge Road

SITE SIZE: 50.3 Acres

NUMBER OF LOTS


Residential:	101
Office:	
Commercial:	
Industrial:	
Total:	101

MINIMUM LOT AREA: 7150 sq. ft.

CURRENT ZONING: "E" Light Industrial

PROPOSED ZONING: "A" Two Family Dwelling (Z-3050) and DP-206

VICINITY MAP:



NOTE: This plat represents the third and final portion of an overall preliminary plat approved for this site 3/19/92. The two previous final portions involved a residential and a commercial plat. The residential areas are involved in a zone change from the existing "E" Light Industrial Classification to the "A" Two-Family Classification (Z-3050). All of this plat is also within the Lake Ridge Residential CUP, DP-206. This final plat corresponds to all of Parcels 2, 3 and 4 and a portion of Parcel 1 of the CUP.

STAFF COMMENTS:

- A. The applicant shall guarantee the extension of sanitary sewer to serve the lots being platted.
- B. The applicant shall guarantee the extension of City water to serve the lots being platted.
- C. The applicant shall guarantee any drainage improvements required by the platting of this property.
- D. The applicant shall guarantee construction of the storm sewers required by this plat.
- E. The applicant shall guarantee the paving of the proposed interior streets.
- F. As required by the Sidewalk Ordinance, sidewalk shall be guaranteed along one side of Sand Plum. Any other sidewalks, such as along Summitlawn or within the reserves are at the option of the applicant.
- G. As required by the CUPs for this site, the following traffic improvements shall be guaranteed:
 - 1. A left-turn lane for eastbound 21st Street traffic at the main entrance into blocks 1, 2, 3, and 4.
 - 2. A deceleration lane for westbound 21st Street traffic at the main entrance into blocks 1, 2, 3, and 4.As indicated by Traffic and County Engineering for improvements along 21st St., the applicant should contact the County to coordinate any improvements with the 4-H Building along the south side of 21st Street. Also, any guarantees for 21st Street should be filed with the City, with County Engineering approving the planned improvements along 21st Street.
- H. If improvements are guaranteed by petition, a notarized certificate listing the petitions shall be submitted to the Planning Department for recording.
- I. On the final plat tracing, the recording information for the

private wall easement located along this plat's north line shall be indicated. It should be noted that this easement was created to benefit the properties to the north of this Addition.

- J. Provisions shall be made for ownership and maintenance of the proposed reserves. The applicant shall either form a lot owners' association prior to recording the plat or shall submit a covenant stating when the association will be formed, when the reserves will be deeded to the association and who is to own and maintain the reserves prior to the association taking over those responsibilities.

In addition, as indicated by the CUP, this covenant shall provide that the homeowner's/lot owner's association(s) shall also be responsible for maintenance of the parking strip or landscaped areas between the plat's perimeter and the paved surfaces of adjacent public streets.

- K. For those reserves being platted for drainage purposes, the required covenant which provides for ownership and maintenance of the reserves shall grant, to the City, the authority to maintain the drainage reserves in the event the owner(s) fail to do so. The covenant shall provide for the cost of such maintenance to be charged back to the owner(s) by a method similar to special assessments.

- L. The reserve in the cul-de-sac adjacent to lots 1, 2, & 3, Block 1 is not apparently labeled, e.g., Reserve I. On the final plat tracing this Reserve shall be properly labeled on the face of the plat and described in the plat's text.

- M. As was indicated during review of the preliminary plat, since a major portion of this plat is within floodway and flood plain, the final plat shall indicate any needed floodway and minimum building pad elevations. Further, since this plat required a revision to the floodway, a final plat may be submitted for Planning Commission review and for purposes of obtaining FEMA's approval in the floodway adjustments. However, the final plat was not to be forwarded to the City Council for approval until FEMA approves the boundary changes. Further, if the final plat involved any significant changes due to FEMA's boundary approval, a revised final plat was to be resubmitted for Subdivision Committee and Planning Commission review. If the applicant wanted to final out portions of the preliminary plat, it was to involve only portions not within any contested floodway boundary. City Engineering therefore needs to verify that this plat may be submitted at this time and/or if it can be forwarded to the City Council.

- N. As noted from the preliminary plat, the applicant was proposing to relocate the ARKLA pipeline easement existing on this property. This would require the releasing of the existing pipeline easement and the granting of a new easement adjacent to this subdivision. However, this plat appears to be indicating

the existing pipeline rather than a relocation. Consequently, this site will be subject to the following standard pipeline requirements:

1. The applicant shall submit a copy of the instrument which establishes the Arkla pipeline easement on this property. The applicant's agent shall determine any setback requirements from the pipeline by researching the text of the pipeline agreement. If a setback from the pipeline easement is provided for in the pipeline easement agreement, it shall be indicated on the face of the plat.
 2. Any relocation, lowering or encasement of the pipeline, made necessary by this development, will not be at the expense of the City.
 3. Additional dimensions should be indicated on lots 1 & 2, Block 1 to better locate the pipeline easement.
- O. The ARKLA pipeline as is now being shown, significantly encumbers lots 1 & 2, Block 1. In particular, it appears that unless the pipeline is relocated, that lot 2 is not an acceptable building site. The applicant shall therefore submit for recording with this plat, a covenant indicating that lot 2 cannot be developed for a habital structure until the existing pipeline is relocated and/or the present pipeline easement is released or reduced sufficiently.
- P. The applicant shall submit a covenant which provides for four (4) off-street parking spaces per dwelling unit on each lot which abuts a 58-foot street. The covenant shall inventory the affected lots by lot and block number and shall state that the covenant runs with the land and is binding on future owners and assigns.
- Q. Until such time as a new Mayor is appointed, the Mayor's signature block on the plat tracing should be left blank.
- R. The applicant is reminded that the platting binder is to be submitted with the final plat. Scheduling of this plat for City Council or its subsequent release for recording will be subject to satisfying any requirements determined appropriate upon review of the platting binder.
- S. The applicant shall install or guarantee the installation of all utilities and facilities which are applicable and described in Article 8 of the MAPC Subdivision Regulations.
- T. The applicant's engineer is advised that the Register of Deeds is requiring the name(s) of the notary public, who acknowledges the signatures on this plat, to be printed beneath the notary's signature.
- U. To receive mail delivery without delay, and to avoid unnecessary

expense, the applicant is advised of the necessity to meet with the U.S. Postal Service Growth Management Coordinator (phone 316-946-4527) prior to development of the plat so that the type of delivery, and the tentative mailbox locations can be determined.

- V. Perimeter closure computations shall be submitted with the final plat tracing. Section 5-101(c).
- W. Recording of the plat within 30 days after approval by the City Council.
- X. The representative from City Engineering should be prepared to comment on the status of the applicant's drainage plan. Engineering also needs to comment on the status of this plat in regard to the requirement for FEMA approval and the acceptability of the indicated minimum building pad elevations. Further, Engineering needs to indicate if certain Reserves such as Reserve B needs to also be designated on the plat as for floodway and consequently, the plat's text should also reference the standard floodway language for such a reserve(s).

CARRIER C

From: CARRIER_C
Sent: Monday, April 10, 2000 8:20 AM
To: JENNINGS_B
Subject: RE: Drainage Complaint

Sensitivity: Private

Would you please contact this person to see exactly what the complaint is. It may be a water situation, per the earlier em.

-----Original Message-----

From: JENNINGS_B
Sent: Friday, April 07, 2000 1:58 PM
To: CARRIER_C
Subject: RE: Drainage Complaint
Sensitivity: Private

I took a look at this one this morning and this is what I found. Both Pinecrest & Old Manor the next street to the E. drain to 9th then W. on 9th. There are no CB's in this area, there are low places in the street and gutter area, and the residents have not bothered to remove the leaves from the gutter, including the person that called in the complaint.

-----Original Message-----

From: CARRIER_C
Sent: Thursday, April 06, 2000 4:48 PM
To: JENNINGS_B
Subject: FW: Drainage Complaint
Sensitivity: Private

This sounds familiar - check it out and let me know.

-----Original Message-----

From: RATH_G
Sent: Thursday, April 06, 2000 4:46 PM
To: CARRIER_C; HENRY_L
Subject: Drainage Complaint
Sensitivity: Private

Our office received a call from Mike McAdams, 1104 N. Pinecrest, regarding a standing water problem that he attributed to spring water in the area. I didn't talk with him directly, but when he told our secretary that the water problem was in the street I told her to refer him to Street Maintenance. When she proceeded to start to refer him to Street Maintenance, he told her that Street Maintenance had referred him to Engineering.

Sounds to me like this is either a Stormwater or Street Maintenance problem. Either of you want to volunteer to contact him? His number is 268-8313.

This is a problem w/ bad gutters and a sump pump discharge. Mr. McAdams has been talked to by both Jennings and Henry. No SWU action required.

*CMC
4-12-00*

CARRIER C

From: HENRY_L
Sent: Wednesday, April 12, 2000 9:44 AM
To: CHERCHES
Cc: LACKEY_S; CARRIER_C; PRUITT_P
Subject: Robert Christian - 515 S. Byron

Sensitivity: Private

I've talked with Mrs. Christian. She explained the drainage problem, which will require some ditching and culvert cleaning. We will schedule this as soon as we can. She was appreciative.

Cheryl -
Please file w/earlier
Enn's. Thanks -
cmc



FyI

Chris Carrier

Coops File

City of Wichita
Bob Jennings, Flood Control Supervisor
Central Maintenance Facility
1801 S. McLean Blvd
Wichita, KS 67213

April 10, 2000

Southwestern Bell
154 N. Broadway
Room 210
Wichita, Ks. 67202
Attn.: Vince Wesolowsky

RECEIVED

APR 12 2000

Dear Mr. Wesolowsky:

This is in response to your letter concerning the proposed Southwestern Bell telephone cable crossing at Chisholm Creek. The cable line will be located along the east side of Hydraulic Avenue just north of 37th Street North. This pertains to the Wichita/Valley Center Flood Protection Project.

The plans have been reviewed for installing the cable under the Chisholm Creek channel and under both levees by a single directional bore. The cable crossing will not be detrimental to the levees and is hereby approved subject to the comments listed below.

- a. The boring shall be accomplished using a slurry seal or other approved material to prevent piping or seepage under the levee.
- b. The backfill material in the boring path entry and exit areas must be compacted to at least the density of the natural ground.
- c. All disturbed areas must be graded and returfed to prevent erosion.
- d. All construction materials and debris must be removed from the levee right-of-way.
- e. **As-built drawings should be furnished to us upon completion of the project.**
- f. **A permit under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act may be required for excavation in wetland areas. Other Federal, State, and local permits may be required.**

If you have any questions or concerns, please contact me at (316) 268-4095.

Sincerely,



Bob Jennings
Flood Control Supervisor

BJ/bd
swb_254.apr

✓ cc: Christopher M. Carrier, P.E., Storm Water Engineer

CARRIER C

From: Carl Gipson [carlg@southwind.net]
Sent: Wednesday, April 19, 2000 1:13 PM
To: Dave Hubbard
Cc: Chris Carrier
Subject: Comments from Storm Water Utility

I put a copy of a memo from Chris Carrier in your mail slot. It contains his comments regarding your field check submittal. Please be sure to address his comments as you proceed with the W. Kellogg design.

Change -
File in a file
titled "Kellogg Inputs -
Report to 119th W."
along with the 2
memos I wrote
last week.
cmc



MAR 6 - 2000

INTEROFFICE MEMORANDUM

TO: Steve Lackey, P.E., Director of Public Works
FROM: Douglas R. Kupper, CLP, Director of Park and Recreation
SUBJECT: Trees
DATE: March 6, 2000

Steve, should we meet to discuss further tree plantings?

DRK:jcm
Attachment



DEPARTMENT OF PARK & RECREATION MEMORANDUM

TO: Doug Kupper, Park and Recreation Director
FROM: Tim Martz, Superintendent Landscape and Forestry *TM*
DATE: February 9, 2000
SUBJECT: Tree planting – drainage areas

Attached are a few photographs, albeit w/ old film, which shows our plantings along several drainage areas and one of the two areas that we have tree planting planned, 21st and Edgemoor.

We are waiting on direction from Manager in planting these areas, as Director Lackey is concerned about contract maintenance cost with increased plantings.

Please address this issue with Manager so staff will know how to proceed.

Thank you!

C: Thane Rogers, Landscape Supervisor

MARTZ_T

From: MARTZ_T
Sent: Tuesday, January 25, 2000 10:44 AM
To: KUPPER_D
Subject: RE: tree planting on Fabrique and other channels
Sensitivity: Private

We have been planting along drainageways for a number of years. Manager directed us to invest in tree planting in 1.) areas void of trees 2.) areas where we have experienced significant tree loss & 3.) along major streets.

Tree planting along drainage ways like Fabrique, Brookside, Armour, Lawrence Lane, Gouverneur, and Westlink are primarily within the street right-of-way and on the "high bank" and generally spaced 45' apart. I think in every case we have notified flood control staff prior to planting to make certain that we do not create problems with flood waters. Occasionally a homeowner will plant down in the creek channel.

We have two locations, Edgemoor from 21st St. North and Lawrence Lane from 10th -14th, that we have marked and ready to plant but are holding off on until we receive permission from flood control staff. They are concerned primarily that this will increase mowing cost which is contract work.

It is interesting to me that there is concern about increased cost for mowing within these drainage areas. City staff and contractors have planted 1000's of trees along street right-of way in recent years and many of these areas Park staff have responsibility for mowing. In addition the cost of tree maintenance is a factor with Manager's directive of tree planting and landscaping of public areas. I suggest we look at the "big picture" for maintenance cost associated with initiatives.

C: Thane Rogers, Landscape Supervisor

Timothy R. Martz
Superintendent Landscape and Forestry
City of Wichita
455 N. Main
Wichita KS 67202
☎ (316) 268-4222 *visit our website*
📠 (316) 268-9095 *www.wichitago.org*
💻 martz_t@ci.wichita.ks.us

-----Original Message-----

From: KUPPER_D
Sent: Tuesday, January 25, 2000 9:13 AM
To: MARTZ_T
Subject: FW: tree planting on Fabrique and other channels
Sensitivity: Private

Tim your opinion?

Douglas R. Kupper CLP
Director of Parks and Recreation
City Hall, 11th Floor
455 N. Main
Wichita, Kansas 67202
<< File: KUPPER_D.vcf >>

-----Original Message-----

From: LACKEY_S
Sent: Tuesday, January 25, 2000 9:10 AM
To: CHERCHES
Cc: KUPPER_D
Subject: tree planting on Fabrique and other channels
Sensitivity: Private

Extensive tree planting has occurred recently that will cause increased mowing due to the need to now hand cut in areas on side slopes next to these channels. In addition, tree placement will cause debris to get caught on these trees and reroute flood waters to some extent.

If the intent is to do this regardless of cost impacts on maintenance and service levels then I can deal with that and will so instruct my staff, but if it is possible to plant extra trees in a manner that would not severely impact operations I'd appreciate it.

You may not be aware of this impact, but wanted to get your take on this before we try to discuss this issue with Doug and his staff. Thanks.

LASHLEY_S

From: FORBES_V
Sent: Wednesday, March 29, 2000 2:19 PM
To: COOPER_CL; LASHLEY_S
Subject: FW: Maintenance
Sensitivity: Private

FYI

-----Original Message-----

From: JENNINGS_B
Sent: Wednesday, March 29, 2000 1:52 PM
To: FORBES_V
Subject: RE: Maintenance
Sensitivity: Private

Chris and I looked at this location this morning, and I talked to Mr. Hecox on the phone that we would TV this line to see if there is any problem with the line.

-----Original Message-----

From: FORBES_V
Sent: Monday, March 27, 2000 4:55 PM
To: DISPENZA_B; JENNINGS_B
Cc: COOPER_CL
Subject: Maintenance
Sensitivity: Private

Greg Hecox
3231 E. 12th Street (13th & Holyoke)
265-6615
992-4314

Lives in a four-plex. Gets water in his basement, he is at the low point, but he thinks that there must be a break in the storm drain lines.

Vicki Forbes
Storm Water Management

Visit our web site at: <http://www.wichitagov.org>

NOTE: As of 4-6-00, this has been resolved.
T.V. inspection shows our line is okay. Water is coming in from drain tile to sump pit - the sump pump is not there.

CMC

IMPORTANT MESSAGE

FOR _____

DATE _____

TIME _____

A.M.
P.M.

M. _____

OF _____

PHONE _____

cell 992-4314

AREA CODE

NUMBER

EXTENSION

☐ FAX

☒ MOBILE

AREA CODE

NUMBER

TIME TO CALL

TELEPHONED		PLEASE CALL	
CAME TO SEE YOU		WILL CALL AGAIN	
WANTS TO SEE YOU		RUSH	
RETURNED YOUR CALL		SPECIAL ATTENTION	

MESSAGE _____



~~910-57-1114~~
12th & Holyoke
south curb

SIGNED _____




FORM 3002S
MADE IN U.S.A.

B 132



INCIDENTAL DRAINAGE IN CONNECTION WITH
PAVING 11TH ST. BET. GROVE & HILLSIDE
FILE NO. 2-24-28





100 0 100 200 Feet

Grand Total	Proprietary	Gift
362.3	123.5	
544.2	123.5	
992.5	247.0	213.3

[illegible]

NOTE: Install new Ch. in return to replace end broken Ch.


The water to be pumped from the water tower to be 55 GPM to 500 GPM. Water under W. 5th St. Lewis St. opening in cb. to allow water to flow from pipe to the sewer line. Inter-section to be conc. Div.

$$\begin{array}{r} 74.4 \\ 42.4 \\ \hline 116.8 \\ + 12.7 \\ \hline 129.5 \end{array}$$

Prop.	
33.0	
6.7	
2.0	
15.1	
44.6	
62.9	
101.7	
73.9	
524.4	
4075	
3542	



12th St
E.L. Hilde - E.L. Fairmount
26-2-30-AC
City of Wichita, Kansas
L.K. White City Engineer
August 1948 Proj. No. C-18-116



REQUEST for EXISTING STORM SEWER TEST

Project name : 12th & Holyoke

Location : SMME

Request Date : 3-30-00

Chris _____

Vicki _____

Steve _____

Completion Date : 3-30-00

Pass

or

Fail

Remarks : Line IN Good Cond. ON TAPE
Line Changes From RCP TO Metal Connected AT
26' NO Breaks, Cracks Holes or other
Damage IS visible IN our line

RECEIVED

APR 06 2000

MAN HRS.

8

EQUIP. HRS.

4

WATER

0

FOOTAGE

207

Reviewed By Dan Deutsh

JOBA

ACTION CODE

DEPARTMENT DESIGNATION: STORM WATER

3-30-00

JOB DESCRIPTION

T.O. Existing line

[illegible][illegible]

MATERIAL USAGE					
I.D. #	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	UNIT MEASURE		
D.O.R. TOTALS					
209 FT					
SUPERVISOR					

RECEIVED
APR 06 2000

Date: 3-30-00.

Pipe Size: 24" Cleaned: yes Knifed: no

TV INSPECTION LOG

Date: _____

Pipe Size: _____ Cleaned: _____ Knifed: _____

~~APR 06 2000~~

Televised By David L. Burtch

CARRIER C

From: CARRIER_C
Sent: Tuesday, April 11, 2000 1:27 PM
To: HENRY_L
Cc: LACKEY_S
Subject: Robert and Louis Christian - 515 S. Byron (729-9708)

Sensitivity: Private

This complaint came from Cherches on 4-10-00. Jennings looked at it and talked to the people and determined that the roadside ditches needed to be cleaned out. I guess he has talked to Pat P. about it. Please double check with Pat to be sure. You may want to EM the manager , tell him that it is ditch work and when we think we might get to it. I have NOT done that.

Completed!

4-11-00

cmc

CARRIER_C

From: JENNINGS_B
Sent: Tuesday, April 11, 2000 1:10 PM
To: CARRIER_C
Cc: PRUITT_P
Subject: RE: Councilman referral

Sensitivity: Private

I checked this one this morning and it turns out to be a roadside ditch. I told Mrs. Christian that I would give this to St. Maint. I have given the request to Pat Pruitt.

-----Original Message-----

From: CARRIER_C
Sent: Monday, April 10, 2000 4:16 PM
To: JENNINGS_B
Subject: FW: Councilman referral
Sensitivity: Private

Please take a look at this ASAP. Let me know what the problem is. Call them also. Thanks!

-----Original Message-----

From: CHERCHES
Sent: Monday, April 10, 2000 3:52 PM
To: LACKEY_S
Cc: CARRIER_C
Subject: Councilman referral
Sensitivity: Private

Steve...

A Robert and Louis Christian, 515 S. Byron St., Wichita, wants to have someone look into her ditch and the necessary cleaning...can someone call and check this? tele 729-9708.

Thank you.



Westlin & Dringge



Edgemoor + 21st
a proposed planting site



Lawrence Ln. + 13th



Edgemoor + 21st
a proposed planting site



Tara Lane & Central



Lawrence Ln + 13th



Tara Lane & Central



Westlink Drainage