


M K E C E N G I N E E R I N G C O N S U L T A N T S , I N C



DRAINAGE REPORT

FOR

NEWMAN UNIVERSITY ADDITION
Wichita, Sedgwick County, Kansas

April 2005

SCANNED

Drainage Report

Newman University Addition

Wichita, Sedgwick County, Kansas

Location

The subject property is located in Wichita, Sedgwick County, Kansas. The proposed development is located south of US-54 (Kellogg), east of Leonine Street, and west of K-42 along McCormick Avenue, in the center of Section 25, Township 27 South, Range 1 West. The site is approximately 1.8 acres. The site is shown on the Wichita West, Kansas Quadrangle, located in Appendix A.

Soils

According to the NRCS (SCS) Sedgwick County Soil Survey (Appendix B) soils on the site consist of the following;

1. Ua, Urban land-Canadian complex, 0 to 3 percent slopes, HSG B
2. Ue, Urban land-Tabler complex, 0 to 1 percent slopes, HSG D
3. Ca, Canadian fine sandy loam, rarely flooded, HSG B
4. Na, Naron fine sandy loam, 0 to 1 percent slopes, HSG B
5. Cc, Carwile fine sandy loam, 0 to 1 percent slopes, HSG D

The Hydraulic Soil Group (HSG) used to select runoff coefficients was "B".

Pre-Project Conditions

Pre-Project Development

McCormick Avenue currently follows a straight path from Leonine Street to K-42 through the campus of Newman University. Currently parking lots are located along the proposed McCormick Street realignment.

Two small ponds exist to the east of Dondlinger Avenue, one on each side of McCormick. The north pond was built to satisfy a need for additional fill and to provide an aesthetically pleasing appearance to the campus, when the De Mattias Fine Arts Center and the O'Shaughnessy Sports complex were constructed. The north pond does not provide stormwater detention; however the pond to the south of McCormick does exhibit detention characteristics.

Pre-Project Landforms and Slopes

Slopes across the site range from 0.5% to 3.0%.

Pre-Project Drainage Conditions

The entire site is in Zone B, Appendix C, (Community-Panel Number 200328 0025 B, Panel 25 of 40, May 15, 1986). This zone is protected for the one-percent annual chance (100-year) flood by levee, dike, or other structures subject to possible failure or overtopping during larger floods. The nearest zone A is approximately 1-½ miles southeast of the site.

Pre-Project Runoff Characteristics

The site currently drains into four stormwater sewer (SWS) drainage systems, as shown in Appendix D. The first drainage system is located near the corner of Sheridan and McCormick. This system routes runoff from McCormick south along Sheridan Ave. The second system is located on the north end of Sheridan Avenue. This system routes storm runoff from the areas north and west of the De Mattias Fine Arts Center, as well as runoff from the area around Hilary Hall East, into a Kansas Department of Transportation SWS system that run along the south side of US-54 (Kellogg). The third system is located north of the intersection of McCormick and Dondlinger. This system serves the area north and east of the O'Sheughnessy Sports Complex and routes the runoff to the pond to the north of McCormick Avenue. The final SWS system associated with the existing site is located on McCormick west of K-42. This system helps to drain the storm runoff from McCormick Avenue, southbound K-42, and excess water from the north pond to the south detention pond and will remain unchanged during the project.

Post-Project Conditions

Post-Project Development

McCormick Avenue curves to the north approximately 150 feet east of All Hallows Street and travels around the north side of the O'Shaughnessy Sports Complex and the De Mattias Fine Arts Center. McCormick curves back south to its original path approximately 190 feet west of K-42. The new street will be 40-foot wide and have a 5-foot median. The University plans to utilize the existing McCormick St. pavement therefore it will not be removed.

The impervious area for the site will not change significantly since parking lots cover a majority of the proposed road location. The layout of the proposed road will decrease the surface area of the north pond. Reducing the size of the pond will not hurt the drainage characteristics of the site because the pond does not provide stormwater detention.

Post-Project Landform and Slope

The landform and slope will be approximately the same as pre-project conditions.

Post-Project Runoff Characteristics

The post-project development was divided into 24 separate drainage sub-areas, within 6 SWS drainage systems, as shown in Appendix E. Runoff will still flow to the same


location as stated in pre-project development. The table at the bottom of the McCormick Special Drainage Plan (Appendix E) shows a summary of the post-project runoff associated with each drainage area. The areas draining into the KDOT system, south along Sheridan and to each of the ponds will remain unchanged from pre-project to post project. However, there will be an additional storm sewer system installed northeast of the O'Sheughnessy Sports Complex and an additional system at the intersection of McCormick and Dondlinger Avenue to help with the drainage of the site. These systems will not increase the rate of runoff offsite significantly from pre-project conditions, due to similar areas and runoff coefficients for pre and post project developments. A summary of calculations used to determine the runoff into the KDOT SWS along with a letter from KDOT acknowledging the insignificant increase in runoff to the KDOT SWS system is located in Appendix F. Hydraflow Storm Sewer 2000 by Intelisolve results for all the SWS system can be found in Appendix G.

Summary


McCormick Avenue is being realigned to curve around the north side of Newman University in order to deter heavy volumes of traffic from traveling through the middle of campus. The new alignment of the street closely follows the path of an existing parking lot located to the north of the O'Shaughnessy Sports Complex and the De Mattias Fine Arts Center, therefore little additional impervious area will be created due to this project. Stormwater runoff will flow into the same systems as they did pre-project with approximately equal flowrates. A portion of the pond lying to the north of McCormick Avenue will be filled to allow for the realignment of McCormick Avenue, consequently reducing the pond's surface area. However this pond provides minimal stormwater detention, therefore the reduction irrelevant. Any change in runoff from the site should be viewed as negligible.

Appendix A

Quadrangle



SCALE 1:24 000



CONTOUR INTERVAL 5 FEET
NATIONAL GEODETIC VERTICAL DATUM OF 1929



MKEC
ENGINEERING
CONSULTANTS
453 N. WEBB ROAD
WICHITA, KS. 67208
316 - 884 - 9898

KANSAS NEWMAN
PROJECT NAME

WICHITA WEST QUADRANGLE MAP
SHEET TITLE

KLA
DESIGN BY.

SMD
DRAWN BY.

JTC
CHECKED BY.

MARCH 2005
DATE



03245
JOB NO.

1 / 1
SHEET/OF

2:10 PM, 03/23/05 10:47 AM, 03/23/05 10:47 AM


Appendix B

Soil Survey



Appendix C

**Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM)
&
Flood Boundary and Floodway Map (FBFM)**



NATIONAL FLOOD INSURANCE PROGRAM


FIRM
FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP

CITY OF
WICHITA,
KANSAS
SEDEGWICK COUNTY



PANEL 25 OF 40
(SEE MAP INDEX FOR PANELS NOT PRINTED)

COMMUNITY-PANEL NUMBER
200328 0025 B

EFFECTIVE DATE:
MAY 15, 1986



Federal Emergency Management Agency

MKEC
ENGINEERING
CONSULTANTS
411 N. WEBB ROAD
WICHITA, KS. 67206
316 - 684 - 9668


KANSAS NEWMAN
PROJECT NAME

FIRM PANEL 25 OF 40
SEDEGWICK COUNTY, KANSAS
SHEET TITLE

KLA DESIGN BY.	SMD DRAWN BY.	GJA CHECKED BY.
MARCH 2005 DATE	03245 JOB NO.	1 / 1 SHEET/OT

KANSAS NEWMAN FIRM PANEL 25 OF 40 SEDGWICK COUNTY, KANSAS

THIS AREA PROTECTED FROM
THE ONE PERCENT ANNUAL
CHANCE (100-YEAR) FLOOD
BY LEVEE, DIKE, OR OTHER
STRUCTURES SUBJECT TO
POSSIBLE FAILURE OR OVER-
TOPPING DURING LARGER FLOODS



NATIONAL FLOOD INSURANCE PROGRAM


FLOODWAY
FLOOD BOUNDARY AND
FLOODWAY MAP

CITY OF
WICHITA,
KANSAS
SEDDWICK COUNTY

PANEL 25 OF 40
SEE MAP INDEX FOR PANELS NOT PRINTED

COMMUNITY-PANEL NUMBER
200328 0025


EFFECTIVE DATE:
MAY 15, 1986



Federal Emergency Management Agency



APPROXIMATE SCALE




MKEC
ENGINEERING
CONSULTANTS

411 N. WESS ROAD
WICHITA, KS. 67201
316 - 844 - 9669

KANSAS NEWMAN

PROJECT NAME

FBFM PANEL 25 OF 40
SEDDWICK COUNTY, KANSAS

SHEET TITLE

KLA
DESIGN BY:

SMD
DRAWN BY:

GJA
CHECKED BY:

MARCH 2005
DATE

03002
JOB NO.

1 / 1
SHEET/OF

C:\WORK\KANSAS\JUNE\PROJECT\KANSAS\03\FBFM.DWG

Appendix D

Site Drainage Plan

Appendix E

KDOT SUBMITTAL

Kansas Department of Transportation

MEMO TO: Benny P. Tarverdj, P.E.
KDOT Metro Engineer
Wichita, Kansas

FROM: Jim L. Kowach, P.E.
Chief, Bureau of Design

BY: Richard G. Adams, P.E. *RLA*
Road Design Engineer

DATE: January 21, 2005

REFERENCE: US-54 in Sedgwick County

SUBJECT: *Newman University*
MKEC Project No.: 03245

RECEIVED
JAN 22 2005
METRO ENGINEER
WICHITA OFFICE

We have completed the initial review of the plans for the proposed drainage revisions and have the following comments and recommendations:

- The contributing area to the KDOT storm sewer is essentially unchanged from the existing to the proposed condition.
- The increased flow that will be produced by increasing the pipe size from 15 inches to 18 inches is small relative to the amount of water already carried by the KDOT storm sewer system. This increase pipe size should not adversely affect the level of service provided by the current KDOT storm sewer system.
- When converted to NGVD elevation, the invert elevation of the proposed 18-inch pipe into the KDOT system is 1293.95 feet. The as-built plans show the invert elevation of the existing 15-inch pipe into the KDOT system as 1295.1 ±. Proposed flowlines should be field verified to be sure that connection to the manhole will properly align with the existing 15-inch pipe location and that the manhole connection is property repaired/adjusted.

We are returning the attachments. If you have any additional questions, please contact Howard Lubliner at (785) 296-0143.

RGAs:js

Attachment

COMPUTATIONS FOR EXISTING CONDITIONS

Inlet E1 – COW Type II (Combination Curb Opening & Grate) inlet for parking lot west of Newman Universities DeMattias Hall.

Inlet is in a sump and for comparison to proposed storm sewer, will look at a 5-year storm event.

Drainage Area = **0.77 acres** (see accompanied drainage map)

C₅-value: (90%) Pavement = (0.90)(0.88) = 0.79

(10%) Lawn = (0.10)(0.22) = 0.02

0.81

Flow Length (L) = 340'

Δ Elevation (H) = 114.98 – 110.62 = 4.36'

Time of Concentration: $T_{C5} = \frac{[1.8(1.1-C_5)(L)^{1/2}]}{(S_A)^{1/3}}$

$$= \frac{[1.8(1.1-0.81)(340')^{1/2}]}{[(4.36/340)*100]^{1/3}} = 8.86 \text{ minutes}$$

(Use 15 minute minimum)

Therefore; Intensity (I) = **4.56 inches/hr.**

(Rainfall Intensity Charts, SG County, 1997)

$$Q_5 = (0.81)(4.56 \text{ "/hr.})(0.77 \text{ acres}) = \underline{\underline{2.84 \text{ cfs}}}$$

Inlet E2 – COW Type II (Combination Curb Opening & Grate) inlet for parking lot north of DeMattias Hall, west half. Included in these calculations are roof drains off the hall onto the parking area.

Inlet is in sump and used a 5-year storm event.

Drainage Area = **1.47 acres** (see accompanied drainage map)

C₅-value: (65%) Pavement = (0.65)(0.88) = 0.57

(35%) Lawn = (0.35)(0.22) = 0.08

0.65

Flow Length (L) = 610'

Δ Elevation (H) = 115.00 – 111.41 = 3.59'

Time of Concentration: $T_{C5} = \frac{[1.8(1.1-C_5)(L)^{1/2}]}{(S_A)^{1/3}}$

$$= \frac{[1.8(1.1-0.65)(610')^{1/2}]}{[(3.59/610)*100]^{1/3}} = 23.872 \text{ minutes} = 24 \text{ minutes}$$

Therefore; Intensity (I) = **3.65 inches/hr.**

(Rainfall Intensity Charts, SG County, 1997)

Assumption: 4" PVC Roof drains are running full at 1.00% grade, therefore 0.29 cfs per outlet. There are seven (7) outlets on this side.

$$Q_5 = (0.65)(3.65 \text{ "/hr.})(1.47 \text{ acres}) + 7(0.29 \text{ cfs}) = \underline{\underline{5.52 \text{ cfs}}}$$

Inlet E3 – COW Type II (Combination Curb Opening & Grate) inlet at entrance of roadway leading to parking lots off of N. Sheridan, south side of road.

Inlet is in sump and used a 5-year storm event.

Drainage Area = **0.14 acres** (see accompanied drainage map)

C₅-value: (95%) Pavement = (0.95)(0.88) = 0.84

(5%) Lawn = (0.05)(0.22) = 0.01

0.85

Flow Length (L) = 200'

Δ Elevation (H) = 114.00 – 110.71 = 3.29'

Time of Concentration: $T_{C5} = \frac{[1.8(1.1-C_5)(L)^{1/2}]}{(S_A)^{1/3}}$

$$= \frac{[1.8(1.1-0.85)(200')^{1/2}]}{[(3.29/200)*100]^{1/3}} = 5.391 \text{ minutes} \\ \text{(Use 15 minute minimum)}$$

Therefore; Intensity (I) = **4.56 inches/hr.**

(Rainfall Intensity Charts, SG County, 1997)

$$Q_5 = (0.85)(4.56 \text{ "/hr.})(0.14 \text{ acres}) = \underline{\underline{0.54 \text{ cfs}}}$$

Inlet E4 – COW Type II (Combination Curb Opening & Grate) inlet at entrance of roadway leading to parking lots off of N. Sheridan, north side of road.

Inlet is in sump and used a 5-year storm event.

Drainage Area = **1.03 acres** (see accompanied drainage map)

C₅-value: (80%) Pavement = (0.80)(0.88) = 0.70

(20%) Lawn = (0.20)(0.22) = 0.04

0.74

Flow Length (L) = 450'

Δ Elevation (H) = 114.09 – 110.72 = 3.29'

Time of Concentration: $T_{C5} = \frac{[1.8(1.1-C_5)(L)^{1/2}]}{(S_A)^{1/3}}$

$$= \frac{[1.8(1.1-0.74)(450')^{1/2}]}{[(3.29/450)*100]^{1/3}} = 15.259 \text{ minutes} \\ \text{(Use 15 minute minimum)}$$

Therefore; Intensity (I) = **4.56 inches/hr.**

(Rainfall Intensity Charts, SG County, 1997)

$$Q_5 = (0.74)(4.56 \text{ "/hr.})(1.03 \text{ acres}) = \underline{\underline{3.48 \text{ cfs}}}$$

Inlet E5 – COW Type 1A (Depressed curb opening) for parking lot for apartment complex west of N. Sheridan.

Inlet is in sump and used a 5-year storm event.

Drainage Area = **0.37 acres** (see accompanied drainage map)

C_s-value: (100%) Pavement = (1.00)(0.88) = **0.88**

Flow Length (L) = 210'

Δ Elevation (H) = 113.86 – 111.67 = 2.19'

Time of Concentration: $T_{CS} = \frac{[1.8(1.1-C_s)(L)^{1/2}]}{(SA)^{1/3}}$

$$= \frac{[1.8(1.1-0.88)(210')^{1/2}]}{[(2.19/210)*100]^{1/3}} = 5.659 \text{ minutes} \quad (\text{Use 15 minute minimum})$$

Therefore; Intensity (I) = **4.56 inches/hr.**

(Rainfall Intensity Charts, SG County, 1997)

$$Q_5 = (0.88)(4.56 \text{ "/hr.})(0.37 \text{ acres}) = \underline{\underline{1.48 \text{ cfs}}}$$

Total existing flow toward KDOT Storm Sewer System = 2.84+5.52+0.54+3.48+1.48 = 13.86 cfs

If however, this system is backing up as indicated, the 15" RCP may be reacting as a pressure pipe. In that case the following are the calculations for a pipe under pressure;

$$Q = A(2gH/1+K_M+K_P L)^{1/2}$$

A = pipe area = $\pi r^2 = (3.1416)(1.25'/2)^2 = 1.227 \text{ ft}^2$

g = gravitational acceleration = 32.2 ft/s²

H = elevation difference (head) =

112.02 (elev of overtopping) - 107.18 (center of outfall pipe) = 4.84'

K_M = Coefficient of Minor Losses = 1.0

n = Manning's pipe coefficient for concrete pipe = 0.013

K_P = Pipe loss coefficient = $(29.16 n^2/r^{4/3}) = [29.16(0.013)^2]/(0.31)^{4/3} = 0.0232$

r = hydraulic radius = D/4 (for full pipe) = 0.31'

L = Pipe Length = 86'

$$Q = (1.227)[(2*32.2*4.84)/(1+1.0+(0.0232*86))]^{1/2} = \underline{\underline{10.84 \text{ cfs}}}$$

COMPUTATIONS FOR PROPOSED CONDITIONS

Inlet P1 – COW Type II (Combination Curb Opening & Grate) inlet for south portion of parking lot west of Newman Universities DeMattias Hall.

Inlet is in a sump and designed for a 5- year storm event.

Drainage Area = **0.36 acres** (see accompanied drainage map)

C₅-value: (95%) Pavement = (0.95)(0.88) = 0.84

(5%) Lawn = (0.05)(0.22) = 0.01

0.85

Flow Length (L) = 250'

Δ Elevation (H) = 115.00 – 112.27 = 2.73'

Time of Concentration: $T_{C5} = \frac{[1.8(1.1-C_5)(L)^{1/2}]}{(S_A)^{1/3}}$

$$= \frac{[1.8(1.1-0.85)(250')^{1/2}]}{[(2.73/250)*100]^{1/3}} = 6.909 \text{ minutes}$$

(Use 15 minute minimum)

Therefore; Intensity (I) = **4.56 inches/hr.**

(Rainfall Intensity Charts, SG County, 1997)

$$Q_5 = (0.85)(4.56 \text{ "/hr.})(0.36 \text{ acres}) = \underline{\underline{1.40 \text{ cfs}}}$$

Inlet P2 – COW Type I (Curb Opening, 5' Opening) inlet for north portion of parking lot west of Newman Universities DeMattias Hall.

Inlet is in sump and designed for a 5- year storm event.

Drainage Area = **0.31 acres** (see accompanied drainage map)

C₅-value: (95%) Pavement = (0.95)(0.88) = 0.84

(5%) Lawn = (0.05)(0.22) = 0.01

0.85

Flow Length (L) = 200'

Δ Elevation (H) = 115.00 – 112.50 = 2.50'

Time of Concentration: $T_{C5} = \frac{[1.8(1.1-C_5)(L)^{1/2}]}{(S_A)^{1/3}}$

$$= \frac{[1.8(1.1-0.85)(200')^{1/2}]}{[(2.50/200)*100]^{1/3}} = 5.908 \text{ minutes}$$

(Use 15 minute minimum)

Therefore; Intensity (I) = **4.56 inches/hr.**

(Rainfall Intensity Charts, SG County, 1997)

$$Q_5 = (0.85)(4.56 \text{ "/hr.})(0.31 \text{ acres}) = \underline{\underline{1.20 \text{ cfs}}}$$

Inlet P3 – COW Type 1A (Depressed Curb Opening, 10' opening) inlet at the sump in proposed McCormick Ave., south side of road.

This inlet will be connected to a 8" PVC trunk line for roof drains.

Used a 5-year storm event.

Drainage Area = **1.27 acres** (see accompanied drainage map)

C₅-value: (65%) Pavement = (0.65)(0.88) = 0.57

(35%) Lawn = (0.35)(0.22) = 0.08

0.65

Flow Length (L) = 610'

Δ Elevation (H) = 115.10 – 110.70 = 4.40'

Time of Concentration: $T_{C5} = \frac{[1.8(1.1-C_5)(L)^{1/2}]}{(S_A)^{1/3}}$

$$= \frac{[1.8(1.1-0.65)(610')^{1/2}]}{[(4.40/610)*100]^{1/3}} = 22.307 \text{ minutes} = 22 \text{ minutes}$$

Therefore; Intensity (I) = **3.81 inches/hr.**

(Rainfall Intensity Charts, SG County, 1997)

Assumption: 8" PVC Roof drain trunk line is running full at 1.00% grade, therefore 1.77 cfs .

$$Q_5 = (0.65)(3.81 \text{ "/hr.})(1.27 \text{ acres}) + 1.77 \text{ cfs} = \underline{\underline{4.92 \text{ cfs}}}$$

Inlet P4 – COW Type 1A (Depressed Curb Opening, 5' opening) inlet at the sump in proposed McCormick Ave., north side of road.

Used a 5-year storm event.

Drainage Area = **0.58 acres** (see accompanied drainage map)

C₅-value: (60%) Pavement = (0.60)(0.88) = 0.53

(40%) Lawn = (0.40)(0.22) = 0.09

0.62

Flow Length (L) = 435'

Δ Elevation (H) = 113.34 – 110.70 = 2.64'

Time of Concentration: $T_{C5} = \frac{[1.8(1.1-C_5)(L)^{1/2}]}{(S_A)^{1/3}}$

$$= \frac{[1.8(1.1-0.62)(435')^{1/2}]}{[(2.64/435)*100]^{1/3}} = 21.284 \text{ minutes} = 21 \text{ minutes}$$


Therefore; Intensity (I) = **3.90 inches/hr.**

(Rainfall Intensity Charts, SG County, 1997)

$$Q_5 = (0.62)(3.90 \text{ "/hr.})(0.58 \text{ acres}) = \underline{\underline{1.40 \text{ cfs}}}$$

Appendix F
HYDRAFLOW CALCS

Hydraflow Plan View



Project file: ExistMcCorm.stm

IDF file: sampleFHA.IDF

No. Lines: 6

04-08-2005

Hydraflow Summary Report

Line No.	Line ID	Flow rate (cfs)	Line size (In)	Line length (ft)	Invert EL Dn (ft)	Invert EL Up (ft)	Line slope (%)	HGL down (ft)	HGL up (ft)	Minor loss (ft)	Dns line No.
1		13.86	15 c	86.0	106.55	107.55	1.163	107.80*	111.76*	1.98	End
2		5.52	12 c	153.0	107.80	108.60	0.523	113.75*	117.42*	0.77	1
3		6.86	15 c	22.0	107.77	107.97	0.909	113.75*	114.00*	0.34	1
4		3.38	15 c	22.0	107.93	108.03	0.455	114.34*	114.40*	0.06	3
5		2.84	12 c	17.0	108.04	108.12	0.471	114.45*	114.56*	0.20	4
6		1.48	12 c	49.0	107.70	108.29	1.204	113.75*	113.83*	0.06	1

Project File: ExistMcCorm.stm

IDF File: sampleFHA.IDF

Total No. Lines: 6

Run Date: 04-08-2005

NOTES: c = circular; e = elliptical; b = box; Return period = 5 Yrs.; * Indicates surcharge condition.

Hydraflow Storm Sewer Tabulation

Station Line	To Line	Len (ft)	Drng Area		Rnoff coeff (C)	Area x C		Tc		Rain (l) (in/hr)	Total flow (cfs)	Cap full (cfs)	Vel (ft/s)	Pipe		Invert Elev		HGL Elev		Gmd / Rim Elev		Line ID	
			Incr (ac)	Total (ac)		Incr (min)	Total (min)	Syst (min)	Size (in)					Slope (%)	Up (ft)	Dn (ft)	Up (ft)	Dn (ft)	Up (ft)	Dn (ft)	Up (ft)		Dn (ft)
1	End	86.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.4	0.0	0.0	13.86	6.96	11.30	15	1.16	107.55	106.55	111.76	107.80	111.85	111.85		
2	1	153.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.52	2.58	7.03	12	0.52	108.60	107.80	117.42	113.75	111.36	111.85		
3	1	22.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	6.86	6.16	5.59	15	0.91	107.97	107.77	114.00	113.75	110.76	111.85		
4	3	22.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	3.38	4.35	2.75	15	0.45	108.03	107.93	114.40	114.34	110.80	110.76		
5	4	17.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.84	2.44	3.62	12	0.47	108.12	108.04	114.56	114.45	111.02	110.80		
6	1	49.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.48	3.91	1.88	12	1.20	108.29	107.70	113.83	113.75	111.56	111.85		
Project File: ExistMcCorm.stm														IDF: File: sampleFHA.IDF				Total number of lines: 6				Run Date: 04-08-2005	
NOTES: Intensity = 79.26 / (Inlet time + 14.60) ^ 0.84; Return period = 5 Yrs. ; Initial tailwater elevation = 107.80 (ft)																							

Hydraflow Inlet Report

Line No	Inlet ID	Q = CIA (cfs)	Q carry (cfs)	Q capt (cfs)	Q byp (cfs)	Junc type	Curb Inlet		Grate Inlet			Gutter						Inlet			Byp line No				
							Ht (in)	L (ft)	area (sqft)	L (ft)	W (ft)	So (ft/ft)	W (ft)	Sw (ft/ft)	Sx (ft/ft)	n	depth (ft)	spread (ft)	depth (ft)	spread (ft)		depth (ft)	spread (ft)	Dep (in)	
1		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	MH	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	Sag	0.00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	Off
2		5.52*	0.00	5.52	0.00	Comb	6.0	2.30	1.60	2.30	Sag	2.00	0.130	0.021	0.000	0.59	17.78	0.54	17.78	0.54	17.78	0.54	17.78	2.00	1
3		3.48*	0.00	3.48	0.00	Comb	6.0	2.30	1.60	2.30	Sag	2.00	0.130	0.021	0.000	0.45	11.11	0.40	11.11	0.40	11.11	0.40	11.11	2.00	1
4		0.54*	0.00	0.54	0.00	Comb	6.0	2.30	1.60	2.30	Sag	2.00	0.130	0.021	0.000	0.17	1.32	0.12	1.32	0.12	1.32	0.12	1.32	2.00	3
5		2.84*	0.00	2.84	0.00	Comb	6.0	2.30	1.60	2.30	Sag	2.00	0.130	0.021	0.000	0.40	8.73	0.35	8.73	0.35	8.73	0.35	8.73	2.00	4
6		1.48*	0.00	1.48	0.00	Curb	6.0	2.30	0.00	0.00	Sag	2.00	0.130	0.021	0.000	0.44	10.79	0.39	10.79	0.39	10.79	0.39	10.79	2.00	1

Project File: ExistMcCom.slm I-D-F File: sampleFHA.IDF Total number of lines: 6 Run Date: 04-08-2005

NOTES: Inlet N-Values = 0.016; Intensity = 79.25 / (Inlet time + 14.60) ^ 0.84; Return period = 5 Yrs; * Indicates Known Q added


Hydraflow Hydraulic Grade Line Computations

Line	Size (in)	Q (cfs)	Downstream							Len (ft)	Upstream							Check		JL coeff (K)	Minor loss (ft)		
			Invert elev (ft)	HGL elev (ft)	Depth (ft)	Area (sqft)	Vel (ft/s)	Vel head (ft)	EGL elev (ft)		Sf (%)	Invert elev (ft)	HGL elev (ft)	Depth (ft)	Area (sqft)	Vel (ft/s)	Vel head (ft)	EGL elev (ft)	Sf (%)			Ave Sf (%)	Energy loss (ft)
1	15	13.86	106.55	107.80	1.25	1.23	11.30	1.98	109.78	4.609	86.0	107.55	111.76	1.25	1.23	11.29	1.98	113.75	4.607	4.608	3.963	1.00	1.98
2	12	5.52	107.80	113.75	1.00	0.79	7.03	0.77	114.52	2.404	153	108.60	117.42	1.00	0.79	7.03	0.77	118.19	2.403	2.403	3.677	1.00	0.77
3	15	6.86	107.77	113.75	1.25	1.23	5.59	0.49	114.23	1.129	22.0	107.97	114.00	1.25	1.23	5.59	0.49	114.48	1.129	1.129	0.248	0.70	0.34
4	15	3.38	107.93	114.34	1.25	1.23	2.75	0.12	114.45	0.274	22.0	108.03	114.40	1.25	1.23	2.75	0.12	114.51	0.274	0.274	0.060	0.50	0.06
5	12	2.84	108.04	114.45	1.00	0.79	3.62	0.20	114.66	0.636	17.0	108.12	114.56	1.00	0.79	3.62	0.20	114.77	0.636	0.636	0.108	1.00	0.20
6	12	1.48	107.70	113.75	1.00	0.79	1.88	0.06	113.80	0.173	49.0	108.29	113.83	1.00	0.79	1.88	0.06	113.89	0.173	0.173	0.085	1.00	0.06

Project File: ExistMcCorm.stm
IDF File: sampleFHA.IDF
Total number of lines: 6
Run Date: 04-08-2005

NOTES: Initial tailwater elevation = 107.8 (ft), * Normal depth assumed., ** Critical depth assumed.

Hydraflow Plan View



Hydraflow Storm Sewer Inventory Report

Line No.	Alignment				Flow Data				Physical Data						Line ID	
	Dnstr line No.	Line length (ft)	Defl angle (deg)	Junc type	Known Q (cfs)	Dmg area (ac)	Ruioff coeff (C)	Inlet time (min)	Invert El Dn (ft)	Line slope (%)	Invert El Up (ft)	Line size (in)	Line type	N value (n)		J-loss coeff (K)
1	End	100.0	85.0	MH	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	106.55	1.00	107.55	18	Cir	0.013	1.00	112.03
2	1	96.0	-90.0	Curb	1.40	0.00	0.00	0.0	107.65	0.30	107.94	24	Cir	0.013	1.10	111.62
3	2	53.0	50.0	Curb	5.33	0.00	0.00	0.0	108.04	0.30	108.20	18	Cir	0.013	1.50	111.62
4	3	87.0	80.0	Curb	1.20	0.00	0.00	0.0	108.30	1.10	109.26	15	Cir	0.013	0.50	112.50
5	4	60.0	0.0	Comb	1.40	0.00	0.00	0.0	109.36	0.30	109.54	15	Cir	0.013	1.00	112.33
6	1	50.0	95.0	Curb	3.25	0.00	0.00	0.0	107.70	1.18	108.29	12	Cir	0.013	1.00	111.56

Project File: KDOTMcCormProp.strm

IDF File: sampleFHA.IDF

Total number of lines: 6

Date: 04-08-2005

Hydraflow Summary Report

Line No.	Line ID	Flow rate (cfs)	Line size (in)	Line length (ft)	Invert EL Dn (ft)	Invert EL Up (ft)	Line slope (%)	HGL down (ft)	HGL up (ft)	Minor loss (ft)	Dns line No.	
1		12.58	18 c	100.0	106.55	107.55	1.000	108.05*	109.49*	0.79	End	
2		9.33	24 c	96.0	107.65	107.94	0.302	110.27*	110.44*	0.15	1	
3		7.93	18 c	53.0	108.04	108.20	0.302	110.59*	110.89*	0.47	2	
4		2.60	15 c	87.0	108.30	109.26	1.103	111.36*	111.50*	0.03	3	
5		1.40	15 c	60.0	109.36	109.54	0.300	111.54*	111.56*	0.02	4	
6		3.25	12 c	50.0	107.70	108.29	1.180	110.27*	110.69*	0.27	1	
Project File: KDOTMcCormProp.stm		IDF File: sampleFHA.IDF				Total No. Lines: 6			Run Date: 04-08-2005			
NOTES: c = circular; e = elliptical; b = box; Return period = 5 Yrs.; * Indicates surcharge condition.												

Hydraflow Storm Sewer Tabulation

Station Line	Len (ft)	Drng Area (ac)		Rnof: coeff (C)	Area x C		Tc (min)		Rain (l) (in/hr)	Total flow (cfs)	Cap full (cfs)	Vel (ft/s)	Pipe		Invert Elev (ft)		HGL Elev (ft)		Grnd / Rlm Elev (ft)		Line ID
		Incr	Total		Inlet	Syst	Size (in)	Slope (%)					Up	Dn	Up	Dn	Up	Dn	Up	Dn	
1	100.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.0	2.3	0.0	0.0	12.58	10.50	7.12	18	1.00	107.55	106.55	109.49	108.05	112.03	112.03	
2	96.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.0	1.8	0.0	0.0	9.33	12.43	2.97	24	0.30	107.94	107.65	110.44	110.27	111.62	112.03	
3	53.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.0	1.6	0.0	0.0	7.93	5.77	4.49	18	0.30	108.20	108.04	110.89	110.59	111.62	111.62	
4	87.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.0	0.0	2.60	6.78	2.12	15	1.10	109.26	108.30	111.50	111.36	112.50	111.62	
5	60.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.40	3.54	1.14	15	0.30	109.54	109.36	111.56	111.54	112.33	112.50	
6	50.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.25	3.87	4.14	12	1.18	108.29	107.70	110.69	110.27	111.56	112.03	

Project File: KDOTMcCormProp.stm

IDF File: sampleFHA.IDF

Total number of lines: 6

Run Date: 04-08-2005

NOTES: Intensity = 79.26 / (Inlet time + 14.60) ^ 0.84; Return period = 5 Yrs.; Initial tailwater elevation = 108.05 (ft)


Hydraflow Inlet Report

Line No	Inlet ID	Q = CIA (cfs)	Q carry (cfs)	Q capt (cfs)	Q byp (cfs)	Junc type	Curb Inlet		Grate Inlet			Gutter						Inlet			Byp line No								
							Ht (in)	L (ft)	area (sqft)	L (ft)	W (ft)	So (ft/ft)	W (ft)	Sw (ft/ft)	Sx (ft/ft)	n	depth (ft)	spread (ft)	depth (ft)	spread (ft)		depth (ft)	spread (ft)	Dep (in)					
1		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	MH	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	Off
2		1.40*	0.00	1.40	0.00	Curb	6.0	5.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.17	0.110	0.021	0.000	0.36	7.89	0.33	7.89	0.33	7.89	0.33	7.89	2.00	2.00	2.00	1
3		5.33*	0.00	5.33	0.00	Curb	6.0	10.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.17	0.110	0.021	0.000	0.49	14.33	0.47	14.33	0.47	14.33	0.47	14.33	2.00	2.00	2.00	2
4		1.20*	0.00	1.20	0.00	Curb	6.0	5.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.001	0.005	0.000	0.22	43.89	0.39	43.89	0.39	43.89	0.39	43.89	2.00	2.00	2.00	3
5		1.40*	0.00	1.40	0.00	Comb	5.0	2.30	3.20	2.30	1.23	2.17	0.110	0.005	0.000	0.000	0.28	10.67	0.22	10.67	0.22	10.67	0.22	10.67	2.00	2.00	2.00	4	
6		3.25*	0.00	3.25	0.00	Curb	6.0	5.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.17	0.110	0.005	0.000	0.52	58.26	0.48	58.26	0.48	58.26	0.48	58.26	0.48	58.26	2.00	2.00	2.00	1

Project File: KDOTMcCormProp.stm
 I-D-F File: sampleFHA.IDF
 Total number of lines: 6
 Run Date: 04-08-2005

NOTES: Inlet N-Values = 0.016 ; Intensity = 79.26 / (Inlet time + 14.60) ^ 0.84 ; Return period = 5 Yrs. ; * Indicates Known Q added

Hydraflow Plan View



Project file: McCormLine1&2.stm

IDF file: sampleFHA.IDF

No. Lines: 5

04-08-2005

Hydraflow Storm Sewer Inventory Report

Line No.	Alignment				Flow Data				Physical Data							Line ID
	Dnstr line No.	Line length (ft)	Defl angle (deg)	Junc type	Known Q (cfs)	Dnng area (ac)	Runoff coeff (C)	Inlet time (min)	Invert El Dn (ft)	Line slope (%)	Invert El Up (ft)	Line size (in)	Line type	N value (n)	J-loss coeff (K)	
1	End	73.0	-160.0	MH	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	106.46	1.00	107.19	34 53	Ellip	0.013	0.85	111.88
2	1	13.0	70.0	DrGr	0.15	0.00	0.00	0.0	107.12	1.23	107.28	24 38	Ellip	0.013	1.00	111.51
3	1	137.0	-20.0	Curb	3.39	0.00	0.00	0.0	107.29	0.30	107.70	30	Cir	0.013	1.50	111.39
4	3	48.0	85.0	Comb	8.91	0.00	0.00	0.0	107.80	0.29	107.94	18	Cir	0.013	1.00	111.39
5	3	150.0	0.0	Curb	3.47	0.00	0.00	0.0	107.80	0.90	109.15	18	Cir	0.013	1.00	112.05

Hydraflow Summary Report

Line No.	Line ID	Flow rate (cfs)	Line size (in)	Line length (ft)	Invert EL Dn (ft)	Invert EL Up (ft)	Line slope (%)	HGL down (ft)	HGL up (ft)	Minor loss (ft)	Dns line No.
1		15.92	34 x 53 e	73.0	106.46	107.19	1.000	107.74	108.47	0.18	End
2		0.15	24 x 36 e	13.0	107.12	107.28	1.231	108.65	108.68	0.00	1
3		15.77	30 c	137.0	107.29	107.70	0.299	108.84	109.24	0.57	1
4		8.91	18 c	48.0	107.80	107.94	0.292	109.82*	110.16*	0.40	3
5		3.47	18 c	150.0	107.80	109.15	0.900	109.82	110.00	0.18	3
Project File: McCormLine1&2.stm			IDF File: sampleFHA.IDF			Total No. Lines: 5			Run Date: 04-08-2005		
NOTES: c = circular; e = elliptical; b = box; Return period = 5 Yrs.; * Indicates surcharge condition.											

Hydraflow Storm Sewer Tabulation

Station Line	To Line	Len (ft)	Drng Area		Rnoff coeff	Area x C		Tc		Rain (l) (in/hr)	Total flow (cfs)	Cap full (cfs)	Vel (ft/s)	Pipe		Invert Elev		HGL Elev		Gmd / Rim Elev		Line ID
			Incr (ac)	Total (ac)		Incr (min)	Syst (min)	Incr (in)	Slope (%)					Up (ft)	Dn (ft)	Up (ft)	Dn (ft)	Up (ft)	Dn (ft)			
1	End	73.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.2	0.0	0.0	15.92	115.1	3.70	30 e	1.00	107.19	106.46	108.47	107.74	111.88	111.88	
2	1	13.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.15	51.73	0.04	28 e	1.23	107.28	107.12	108.68	108.65	111.51	111.88	
3	1	137.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	15.77	22.44	4.95	30	0.30	107.70	107.29	109.24	108.84	111.39	111.88	
4	3	48.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.91	5.67	5.04	18	0.29	107.94	107.80	110.16	109.82	111.39	111.39	
5	3	150.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.47	9.96	2.66	18	0.90	109.15	107.80	110.00	109.82	112.05	111.39	

Project File: McCormLine1&2.stm

IDF File: sampleFHA.IDF

Total number of lines: 5

Run Date: 04-08-2005

NOTES: intensity = 79.26 / (Inlet time + 14.60) ^ 0.84; Return period = 5 Yrs.; Initial tailwater elevation = 107.74 (ft)

Hydraflow Inlet Report

Line No	Inlet ID	Q = CIA (cfs)	Q carry (cfs)	Q capt (cfs)	Q byp (cfs)	Junc type	Curb Inlet		Grate Inlet			Gutter						Inlet		Byp line No			
							Ht (in)	L (ft)	area (sqft)	L (ft)	W (ft)	So (ft/ft)	W (ft)	Sw (ft/ft)	Sx (ft/ft)	n	depth (ft)	spread (ft)	depth (ft)		spread (ft)	depth (ft)	spread (ft)
1		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	MH	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	Off	
2		0.15*	0.00	0.15	0.00	DrGrt	0.0	0.00	8.00	2.00	4.00	Sag	4.00	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.03	62.38	0.0	1
3		3.39*	0.00	3.39	0.00	Curb	6.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	Sag	2.17	0.110	0.021	0.000	0.000	0.021	0.000	0.49	14.27	2.00	1
4		8.91*	0.00	8.91	0.00	Comb	5.0	2.30	3.20	1.23	1.23	Sag	2.17	0.110	0.021	0.000	0.000	0.021	0.000	0.77	27.30	2.00	3
5		3.47*	0.00	3.47	0.00	Curb	6.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.001	2.17	0.110	0.016	0.013	0.013	0.016	0.013	0.49	17.88	2.00	3

Project File: McCormLine1&2.stm
 I-D-F File: sampleFHA.IDF
 Total number of lines: 5
 Run Date: 04-08-2005

NOTES: Inlet N-Values = 0.016 ; Intensity = 79.26 / (inlet time + 14.60) ^ 0.84 ; Return period = 5 Yrs ; * Indicates Known Q added


Hydraflow Hydraulic Grade Line Computations

Line	Size (in)	Q (cfs)	Downstream							Len (ft)	Upstream							Check		JL coeff (K)	Minor loss (ft)		
			Invert elev (ft)	HGL elev (ft)	Depth (ft)	Area (sqft)	Vel (ft/s)	Vel head (ft)	EGL elev (ft)		Sf (%)	Invert elev (ft)	HGL elev (ft)	Depth (ft)	Area (sqft)	Vel (ft/s)	Vel head (ft)	EGL elev (ft)	Sf (%)			Ave Sf (%)	Energy loss (ft)
1	34 53 e	15.92	106.46	107.74	1.28	4.30	3.70	0.21	107.95	0.143	73.0	107.19	108.47	1.28**	4.30	3.70	0.21	108.68	0.143	0.143	N/A	0.85	0.18
2	24 38 e	0.15	107.12	108.65	1.53	4.24	0.04	108.65	0.000	13.0	107.28	108.68	1.40	3.69	0.04	0.00	108.68	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.00	0.00	
3	30	15.77	107.29	108.84	1.55*	3.19	4.95	0.38	109.22	0.299	137	107.70	109.24	1.54	3.18	4.96	0.38	109.63	0.301	0.300	0.411	1.50	0.57
4	18	8.91	107.80	109.82	1.50	1.77	5.04	0.40	110.21	0.720	48.0	107.94	110.16	1.50	1.77	5.04	0.40	110.56	0.720	0.720	0.346	1.00	0.40
5	18	3.47	107.80	109.82	1.50	1.77	1.96	0.06	109.88	0.109	150	109.15	110.00	0.85	1.03	3.36	0.18	110.18	0.290	0.200	0.299	1.00	0.18

Project File: McCormLine1&2.stm IDF File: sampleFHA.IDF Total number of lines: 5 Run Date: 04-08-2005

NOTES: Initial tailwater elevation = 107.735 (ft) *Normal depth assumed. ** Critical depth assumed.

Hydraflow Plan View



Project file: McCormLine4.stm

IDF file: sampleEHA.IDF

No. Lines: 4

04-08-2005

Hydraflow Storm Sewer Inventory Report

Line No.	Alignment				Flow Data				Physical Data							Line ID
	Dnstr line No.	Line length (ft)	Defl angle (deg)	Junc type	Known Q (cfs)	Dmg area (ac)	Runoff coeff (C)	Inlet time (min)	Invert El Dn (ft)	Line slope (%)	Invert El Up (ft)	Line size (in)	Line type	N value (n)	J-loss coeff (K)	
1	End	188.0	-135.0	MH	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	106.00	0.30	106.56	18	Cir	0.013	0.45	115.20
2	1	165.0	-26.0	MH	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	106.66	0.30	107.16	18	Cir	0.013	0.45	112.00
3	2	124.0	-31.0	Curb	3.41	0.00	0.00	0.0	107.26	0.30	107.63	18	Cir	0.013	1.50	112.31
4	3	52.0	-89.0	Curb	1.03	0.00	0.00	0.0	108.78	0.31	108.94	15	Cir	0.013	1.00	112.31

Hydraflow Summary Report

Line No.	Line ID	Flow rate (cfs)	Line size (in)	Line length (ft)	Invert EL Dn (ft)	Invert EL Up (ft)	Line slope (%)	HGL down (ft)	HGL up (ft)	Minor loss (ft)	Dns line No.	
1		4.44	18 c	188.0	106.00	106.56	0.298	106.99	107.55	0.09	End	
2		4.44	18 c	165.0	106.66	107.16	0.303	107.65	108.14	0.09	1	
3		4.44	18 c	124.0	107.26	107.63	0.298	108.25	108.62	0.30	2	
4		1.03	15 c	52.0	108.78	108.94	0.308	109.24	109.40	0.10	3	
Project File: McCormLine4.stm		IDF File: sampleFHA.IDF			Total No. Lines: 4			Run Date: 04-08-2005				
NOTES: c = circular; e = elliptical; b = box; Return period = 5 Yrs.; * Indicates surcharge condition.												

Hydraflow Storm Sewer Tabulation

Station Line	To Line	Len (ft)	Drng Area (ac)		Rnoff coeff (C)	Area x C		Tc (min)		Rain (l) (in/hr)	Total flow (cfs)	Cap full (cfs)	Vel (ft/s)	Pipe		Invert Elev (ft)		HGL Elev (ft)		Grnd / Rim Elev (ft)		Line ID
			Incr	Total		Incr	Total	Inlet	Syst					Size (in)	Slope (%)	Up	Dn	Up	Dn	Up	Dn	
1	End	188.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	2.9	0.0	4.44	5.73	3.59	18	0.30	106.56	106.00	107.55	106.99	115.20	0.00	
2	1	165.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	1.9	0.0	4.44	5.78	3.60	18	0.30	107.16	106.66	108.14	107.65	112.00	115.20	
3	2	124.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	1.0	0.0	4.44	5.74	3.58	18	0.30	107.63	107.26	108.62	108.25	112.31	112.00	
4	3	52.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.03	3.58	2.51	15	0.31	108.94	108.78	109.40	109.24	112.31	112.31	

Project File: McConnLine4.stm
 IDF File: sampleFHA.IDF
 Total number of lines: 4
 Run Date: 04-09-2005

NOTES: Intensity = 79.26 / (inlet time + 14.60) ^ 0.84; Return period = 5 Yrs. ; Initial tailwater elevation = 106.99 (ft)

Hydraflow Inlet Report

Line No	Inlet ID	Q = CIA (cfs)	Q carry (cfs)	Q capt (cfs)	Q byp (cfs)	Junc type	Curb Inlet		Grate Inlet			Gutter						Inlet			Byp line No			
							Ht (in)	L (ft)	area (sqft)	L (ft)	W (ft)	So (ft/ft)	W (ft)	Sw (ft/ft)	Sx (ft/ft)	n	depth (ft)	spread (ft)	depth (ft)	spread (ft)		depth (ft)	spread (ft)	Dep (in)
1		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	MH	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	Off
2		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	MH	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1
3		3.41*	0.00	3.41	0.00	Curb	6.0	5.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.17	0.110	0.021	0.000	0.49	14.32	0.47	14.32	0.47	2.00	2
4		1.03*	0.00	1.03	0.00	Curb	6.0	10.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.17	0.110	0.021	0.000	0.29	4.77	0.27	4.77	0.27	2.00	3

Project File: McCormLine4.stm

I-D-F File: sampleFHA.IDF

Total number of lines: 4

Run Date: 04-08-2005

NOTES: inlet N-Values = 0.016 ; Intensity = 79.26 / (inlet time + 14.60) ^ 0.84 ; Return period = 5 Yrs. ; * Indicates Known Q added


Hydraflow Hydraulic Grade Line Computations

Line	Size (in)	Q (cfs)	Downstream							Len (ft)	Upstream							Check		JL coeff (K)	Minor loss (ft)	
			Invert elev (ft)	HGL elev (ft)	Depth (ft)	Area (sqft)	Vel (ft/s)	Vel head (ft)	EGL elev (ft)		Sf (%)	Invert elev (ft)	HGL elev (ft)	Depth (ft)	Area (sqft)	Vel (ft/s)	Vel head (ft)	EGL elev (ft)	Sf (%)			Ave Sf (%)
1	18	4.44	106.00	106.99	0.99	1.24	3.59	0.20	107.19	0.299	188	106.56	107.55	0.99	1.24	3.58	0.20	107.75	0.298	0.299	0.562	0.09
2	18	4.44	106.66	107.65	0.99*	1.24	3.59	0.20	107.85	0.300	165	107.16	108.14	0.98	1.23	3.61	0.20	108.35	0.304	0.302	0.499	0.09
3	18	4.44	107.26	108.25	0.99*	1.24	3.58	0.20	108.45	0.297	124	107.63	108.62	0.99	1.24	3.58	0.20	108.82	0.298	0.298	0.369	0.30
4	15	1.03	108.78	109.24	0.46*	0.41	2.51	0.10	109.34	0.303	52.0	108.94	109.40	0.46	0.41	2.52	0.10	109.50	0.306	0.304	0.158	0.10

Project File: McCormLine4.stm	IDF File: sampleFHA.IDF
Total number of lines: 4	Run Date: 04-08-2005

NOTES: Initial tailwater elevation = 106.99 (ft), * Normal depth assumed, ** Critical depth assumed.

Hydraflow Plan View



Project file: McCormLine5.stm

IDF file: sampleFHA.IDF

No. Lines: 2

04-08-2005

Hydraflow Storm Sewer Inventory Report

Line No.	Alignment				Flow Data				Physical Data							Line ID
	Dnstr line No.	Line length (ft)	Defl angle (deg)	Junc type	Known Q (cfs)	Drng area (ac)	Runoff coeff (C)	Inlet time (min)	Invert El Dn (ft)	Line slope (%)	Invert El Up (ft)	Line size (in)	Line type	N value (n)	J-loss coeff (K)	
1	End	32.0	-135.0	Curb	0.96	0.00	0.00	0.0	106.17	1.00	106.49	15	Cir	0.013	0.50	111.09
2	1	51.0	0.0	Curb	0.96	0.00	0.00	0.0	106.49	1.00	107.00	15	Cir	0.013	1.00	111.09

Hydraflow Summary Report

Line No.	Line ID	Flow rate (cfs)	Line size (in)	Line length (ft)	Invert EL Dn (ft)	Invert EL Up (ft)	Line slope (%)	HGL down (ft)	HGL up (ft)	Minor loss (ft)	Dns line No.	
1		1.92	15 c	32.0	106.17	106.49	1.000	108.36*	108.39*	0.02	End	
2		0.96	15 c	51.0	106.49	107.00	1.000	108.41*	108.42*	0.01	1	
Project File: McCormLine5.stm		IDF File: sampleFHA.IDF			Total No. Lines: 2			Run Date: 04-08-2005				
NOTES: c = circular; e = elliptical; b = box; Return period = 5 Yrs.; * Indicates surcharge condition.												

Hydraflow Storm Sewer Tabulation

Station	Line	To Line	Len (ft)	Dmg Area (ac)		Rnoff coeff (C)	Area x C		Tc (min)		Rain (in/hr)	Total flow (cfs)	Cap full (cfs)	Vel (ft/s)	Pipe		Invert Elev (ft)		HGL Elev (ft)		Grnd / Rim Elev (ft)		Line ID				
				Incr	Total		Incr	Total	Inlet	Syst					Size (in)	Slope (%)	Up	Dn	Up	Dn	Up	Dn		Up	Dn		
1	End		32.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	1.1	0.0	1.92	6.46	1.56	15	1.00	106.49	106.17	108.39	108.36	111.09	0.00					
2	1		51.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.96	6.46	0.78	15	1.00	107.00	106.49	108.42	108.41	111.09	111.09						
Project File: McCormLine5.stm												IDF File: sampleFHA.IDF												Total number of lines: 2		Run Date: 04-08-2005	

NOTES: Intensity = 79.26 / (Inlet time + 14.60) ^ 0.84; Return period = 5 Yrs.; Initial tailwater elevation = 108.36 (ft)

Hydraflow Inlet Report

Line No	Inlet ID	Q = CIA (cfs)	Q carry (cfs)	Q capt (cfs)	Q byp (cfs)	Junc type	Curb Inlet		Grate Inlet			Gutter						Inlet			Byp line No	
							Ht (in)	L (ft)	area (sqft)	L (ft)	W (ft)	So (ft/ft)	W (ft)	Sw (ft/ft)	Sx (ft/ft)	n	depth (ft)	spread (ft)	depth (ft)	spread (ft)		depth (ft)
1		0.96*	0.09	0.94	0.11	Curb	6.0	5.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.008	2.17	0.110	0.021	0.013	0.27	3.66	0.26	4.21	2.00	Off
2		0.96*	0.00	0.87	0.09	Curb	6.0	5.00	0.00	0.00	0.008	2.17	0.110	0.021	0.013	0.26	3.18	0.25	3.78	2.00	1	

Project File: McCormLine5.slm

I-D-F File: sampleFHA IDF

Total number of lines: 2

Run Date: 04-08-2005

NOTES: Inlet N-Values = 0.016 ; Intensity = 79.26 / (inlet time + 14.60) ^ 0.84 ; Return period = 5 Yrs. ; * Indicates Known Q added


Hydraflow Hydraulic Grade Line Computations

Line	Size (in)	Q (cfs)	Downstream							Len (ft)	Upstream							Check		JL coeff (K)	Minor loss (ft)		
			Invert elev (ft)	HGL elev (ft)	Depth (ft)	Area (sqft)	Vel (ft/s)	Vel head (ft)	EGL elev (ft)		Sf (%)	Invert elev (ft)	HGL elev (ft)	Depth (ft)	Area (sqft)	Vel (ft/s)	Vel head (ft)	EGL elev (ft)	Sf (%)			Ave Sf (%)	Energy loss (ft)
1	15	1.92	106.17	108.36	1.25	1.23	1.56	0.04	108.40	0.088	32.0	106.49	108.39	1.25	1.23	1.56	0.04	108.43	0.088	0.088	0.028	0.50	0.02
2	15	0.96	106.49	108.41	1.25	1.23	0.78	0.01	108.42	0.022	51.0	107.00	108.42	1.25	1.23	0.78	0.01	108.43	0.022	0.022	0.011	1.00	0.01

Project File: McCormLine5.stm IDF File: sampleFHA.IDF Total number of lines: 2 Run Date: 04-08-2005

NOTES: Initial tailwater elevation = 108.36 (ft) . * Normal depth assumed. ** Critical depth assumed.

Hydraflow Plan View



Project file: McCormLine6&7.stm

IDF file: sampleFHA.IDF

No. Lines: 4

04-08-2005

Hydraflow Storm Sewer Inventory Report

Line No.	Alignment				Flow Data				Physical Data							Line ID
	Dnstr line No.	Line length (ft)	Defl angle (deg)	Junc type	Known Q (cfs)	Dmg area (ac)	Runoff coeff (C)	Inlet time (min)	Invert El/Dn (ft)	Line slope (%)	Invert El Up (ft)	Line size (in)	Line type	N value (n)	J-loss coeff (K)	
1	End	23.0	-160.0	Curb	1.10	0.00	0.00	0.0	104.63	0.39	104.72	30	Cir	0.013	0.50	109.57
2	1	46.0	0.0	Curb	4.72	0.00	0.00	0.0	104.82	0.35	104.98	30	Cir	0.013	1.10	109.57
3	2	39.0	24.0	Curb	6.02	0.00	0.00	0.0	105.08	0.41	105.24	18	Cir	0.013	1.00	109.70
4	2	63.0	-43.0	Curb	3.20	0.00	0.00	0.0	105.08	0.40	105.33	18	Cir	0.013	1.00	109.73

Hydraflow Summary Report

Line No.	Line ID	Flow rate (cfs)	Line size (in)	Line length (ft)	Invert EL Dn (ft)	Invert EL Up (ft)	Line slope (%)	HGL down (ft)	HGL up (ft)	Minor loss (ft)	Dns line No.	
1		15.04	30 c	23.0	104.63	104.72	0.391	108.36*	108.39*	0.07	End	
2		13.94	30 c	46.0	104.82	104.98	0.348	108.46*	108.52*	0.14	1	
3		6.02	18 c	39.0	105.08	105.24	0.410	108.66*	108.78*	0.18	2	
4		3.20	18 c	63.0	105.08	105.33	0.397	108.66*	108.71*	0.05	2	
Project File: McCormLine6&7.stm		IDF File: sampleFHA.IDF			Total No. Lines: 4			Run Date: 04-08-2005				
NOTES: c = circular; e = elliptical; b = box; Return period = 5 Yrs.; * Indicates surcharge condition.												

Hydraflow Storm Sewer Tabulation

Station Line	To Line	Len (ft)	Drng Area		Rnoff coeff	Area x C		Tc		Rain (ft)	Total flow (cfs)	Cap full (cfs)	Vel (ft/s)	Pipe		Invert Elev		HGL Elev		Grnd / Rim Elev		Line ID			
			Incr (ac)	Total (ac)		Incr (min)	Syst (min)	Size (in)	Slope (%)					Up (ft)	Dn (ft)	Up (ft)	Dn (ft)	Up (ft)	Dn (ft)	Up (ft)	Dn (ft)				
1	End	23.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.8	0.0	15.04	25.66	3.06	30	0.39	104.72	104.63	108.39	108.36	109.57	109.57	0.00			
2	1	46.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.0	13.94	24.19	2.84	30	0.35	104.98	104.82	108.52	108.46	109.57	109.57	109.57			
3	2	39.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.02	6.73	3.41	18	0.41	105.24	105.08	108.78	108.66	109.70	109.70	109.57			
4	2	63.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.20	6.62	1.81	18	0.40	105.33	105.08	108.71	108.66	109.73	109.73	109.57			
Project File: McCormLine6&7.stm											IDF File: sampleFHA.IDF											Total number of lines: 4		Run Date: 04-08-2005	

NOTES: Intensity = 79.26 / (Inlet time + 14.60) ^ 0.84; Return period = 5 Yrs.; Initial tailwater elevation = 108.36 (ft)

Hydraflow Inlet Report

Line No	Inlet ID	Q = CIA (cfs)	Q carry (cfs)	Q capt (cfs)	Q byp (cfs)	Junc type	Curb Inlet		Grate Inlet			Gutter						Inlet			Byp line No
							Ht (in)	L (ft)	area (sqft)	L (ft)	W (ft)	So (ft/ft)	W (ft)	Sw (ft/ft)	Sx (ft/ft)	n	depth (ft)	spread (ft)	depth (ft)	spread (ft)	
1		1.10*	0.00	1.10	0.00	Curb	6.0	5.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.17	0.110	0.016	0.000	0.34	8.81	0.31	6.81	2.00	Off
2		4.72*	0.00	4.72	0.00	Curb	6.0	5.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.17	0.110	0.016	0.000	0.58	23.38	0.54	23.38	2.00	1
3		6.02*	0.00	6.02	0.00	Curb	6.0	15.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.17	0.110	0.001	0.000	0.50	259.82	0.43	259.82	2.00	2
4		3.20*	0.00	3.20	0.00	Curb	6.0	15.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.17	0.110	0.011	0.013	0.45	21.38	0.40	21.39	2.00	2

Project File: McCormLine6&7.stm
 I-D-F File: sampleFHA.IDF
 Total number of lines: 4
 Run Date: 04-08-2005

NOTES: Inlet N-Values = 0.016 ; Intensity = 79.26 / (Inlet time + 14.60) ^ 0.84 ; Return period = 5 Yrs. * Indicates Known Q added

Hydraflow Hydraulic Grade Line Computations

Line	Size (in)	Q (cfs)	Downstream							Len (ft)	Upstream							JL coeff (K)	Minor loss (ft)			
			Invert elev (ft)	HGL elev (ft)	Depth (ft)	Area (sqft)	Vel (ft/s)	Vel head (ft)	EGL elev (ft)		Sf (%)	Invert elev (ft)	HGL elev (ft)	Depth (ft)	Area (sqft)	Vel (ft/s)	Vel head (ft)			EGL elev (ft)	Sf (%)	Ave Sf (%)
1	30	15.04	104.63	108.36	2.50	4.91	3.06	0.15	108.51	23.0	104.72	108.39	2.50	4.91	3.06	0.15	108.54	0.134	0.135	0.031	0.50	0.07
2	30	13.94	104.82	108.46	2.50	4.91	2.84	0.13	108.59	46.0	104.98	108.52	2.50	4.91	2.84	0.13	108.64	0.116	0.116	0.053	1.10	0.14
3	18	6.02	105.08	108.66	1.50	1.77	3.41	0.18	108.84	39.0	105.24	108.78	1.50	1.77	3.41	0.18	108.96	0.329	0.329	0.128	1.00	0.18
4	18	3.20	105.08	108.66	1.50	1.77	1.81	0.05	108.71	63.0	105.33	108.71	1.50	1.77	1.81	0.05	108.76	0.093	0.093	0.059	1.00	0.05

Project File: McCormLine6&7.slm
 IDF File: sampleFHA.IDF
 Total number of lines: 4
 Run Date: 04-08-2005

NOTES: Initial tailwater elevation = 108.36 (ft), * Normal depth assumed, ** Critical depth assumed.