

Mr. J. A. O'Donnell
requests variance of front
yard setback on north side of lot
long bet. Minneapolis & Winnetka

Smead

No. 103½C

HASTINGS, MINN. - LOGAN, OHIO U.S.A.

WICHITA—SEDGWICK COUNTY



METROPOLITAN AREA PLANNING
DEPARTMENT

AMHERST 2-8211 — AREA CODE 316
CITY BUILDING ANNEX
104 S. MAIN ST.
WICHITA, KANSAS 67202

August 31, 1965

Mr. Earl D. Miskimen
1609 North Gow
Wichita, Kansas 67203

Subject: Request for Reduction of Required
Filing Fee on Property Generally Located on
the North Side of Kellogg between Minnesota
and Minneapolis

Dear Mr. Miskimen:

In answer to your request that the require fee paid for BZA 30-65 be applied to a zoning application on subject property for "BB" Office zoning, the Planning Department has no authorization to reduce required fees or apply fees paid toward one type of application to another.

As to your, and your client's statement that misinformation was given to you in the filing of the BZA case, I wish to point out for your benefit that you were advised correctly as to the two methods in which to apply for the desired parking in the front yard area. The Board of Zoning Appeals does have jurisdiction to grant a variance as requested subject to the finding of certain conditions. In this case, certain conditions were not found to exist, one of which existed prior to the change of State Statutes, and the request for a variance was denied.

The other procedure to achieve parking in the front setback area is to apply for, and have approved for the site in question, the "BB" Office classification. Although we advised you of the correct procedure to follow in applying for either a zone change or a variance, this does not assure you that either request will be granted. Both requests can be denied.



ALL-AMERICA CITY

August 31, 1965

If there was any misunderstanding on your part, we are sorry; however, we do not have the authorization to apply the fee required for the BZA case toward a zoning change request.

If you have any questions concerning this matter, please do not hesitate to call.

Sincerely,

Jack H. Galbraith
Senior Planner

JHG:ibgs

cc: Dr. L. A. O'Donnell, Sr.
1928 East Kellogg
Wichita, Kansas

EARL D. MISKIMEN

1609 NORTH GOW
WICHITA, KANSAS 67203

Architect

DESIGN DEVELOPMENT
316 WH 3-7314

August 25, 1965

Board of Zoning Appeals
Jack Galbraith, Secretary
Room 402 City Building Annex
104 South Main
Wichita, Kansas

Re: BZA 30-65

Dear Mr. Galbraith;

Last Tuesday, August 24, 1965, the variance of the front yard setback on the property at 1920 East Kellogg was denied by the Board of Zoning Appeals.

At the time the application for this variance was made, I was informed of two ways to get the results I was wanting. One was to ask for a variance of the front yard setback through the Board of Zoning Appeals, the second was to request a zoning change to "BB" Office District Zoning.

Since the use of the property is for a parking area for the adjacent Doctors Office, which is a permitted use in "B" zoning, and due to the urgency of getting this parking project underway, it was suggested to me to ask for the variance of the front yard setback.

Due to the recent adoption of State requirements to the Ordinances of the City of Wichita, the Board of Zoning Appeals felt we did not comply with the requirements needed to grant the variance asked.

It is my feeling and the Owners feeling that we were misinformed as to the solution of our planned use of the property.

It is our intention to apply for a zoning change as directed in the Board Secretaries Report and by the Board of Zoning Appeals however our feeling is that due to the misinformation in filing, the fee paid for the variance should be applied toward the fee for the zoning change.

Your consideration would be appreciated.

Sincerely yours,

Earl D. Miskimen

Earl D. Miskimen, ARCHITECT

CC: Dr. L.A. O'Donnell Sr. Owner
1928 East Kellogg
Wichita, Kansas



September 15, 1965

Dr. L. A. O'Donnell, Sr.
1928 East Pollogg
Wichita, Kansas

Dear Dr. O'Donnell:

Re: BZA 30-65 - Request for Variance

We have completed the preparation of the Resolution adopted by the Board of Zoning Appeals in connection with the above-numbered case, which was heard by the Board on August 24, 1965, which Resolution has been signed by the Chairman and Secretary.

As indicated in our letter of August 26, 1965, the action of the Board of Zoning Appeals was to deny the request for variance. Enclosed for your information and files is a copy of the Resolution. A copy has also been provided the Central Inspection Division.

If you have any questions concerning this case, please call.

Very truly yours,

Jack H. Galbraith
Secretary

JHG:ber

cc: Earl Miskimen
1609 North Gow

Glen Lytle, Superintendent
of Central Inspection

Robert Finch
City Clerk

R E S O L U T I O N N O . B Z A 3 0 - 6 5

WHEREAS, DR. L. A. O'DONNELL, SR., 1928 East Kellogg, Wichita, Kansas, by Earl Miskimen, Agent, 1609 North Gow, Wichita, Kansas, requests a Variance of the front yard setback requirements as set forth in Section 28.04.070, Code of the City of Wichita, to permit the use of such area for off-street parking facilities on property zoned "B" Multiple Family, and legally described as:

Lot 13, Block 8, McKnight Place Addition, in the City of Wichita, Sedgwick County, Kansas, generally located on the north side of Kellogg between Minneapolis and Minnesota; and

WHEREAS, proper notice as required by ordinance and by the rules of the Board of Zoning Appeals has been given; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Zoning Appeals did, in regular meeting, consider said application on August 24, 1965; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Zoning Appeals determined that it had proper jurisdiction to consider said request for a Variance under provisions of Section 2.12.590.2, Code of the City of Wichita; and

WHEREAS, the applicant has purchased subject property for the purpose of providing additional off-street parking facilities in connection with his office located on property immediately to the east, and if required to maintain the front yard setback area, it will deprive him of four off-street parking spaces; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Zoning Appeals has found that the variance desired does not arise from conditions which are unique and which are not ordinarily found in the same zoning district inasmuch as there are similar properties zoned "B" Multiple Family, and located along major thoroughfares and further, off-street parking facilities required under the Zoning Ordinance can be provided without the variance requested; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Zoning Appeals has found that the strict application of the enforcement provisions of the Zoning Ordinance will not constitute unnecessary hardship upon the property owner represented in this application, inasmuch as off-street parking facilities as required in the Zoning Ordinance can be provided without the granting of this variance; and

WHEREAS, the Board has determined that two of the five conditions required by Section 2.12.590.2, Code of the City of Wichita, to be present before a variance can be granted, have not been found to exist; and

WHEREAS, all five conditions as set out under Section 2.12.590.2, Code of the City of Wichita must be found to exist before the Board of Zoning Appeals may grant a variance.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Zoning Appeals of the City of Wichita, that this application for a variance of the front yard setback requirements on property zoned "B" Multiple Family, and legally described as:

Lot 13, Block 8, McKnight Place Addition, in the City of Wichita, Sedgwick County, Kansas, generally located on the north side of Kellogg between Minneapolis and Minnesota,

be denied.

ADOPTED AT WICHITA, KANSAS this 24th day of August,
1965.

Harold Bauer
Harold Bauer, Chairman

ATTEST:

Jack H. Galbraith
Jack H. Galbraith, Secretary

BZA 30-65

August 31, 1965

Mr. Earl D. Miskimen
1609 North Gow
Wichita, Kansas 67203

Subject: Request for Reduction of Required
Filing Fee on Property Generally Located on
the North Side of Kellogg between Minnesota
and Minneapolis

Dear Mr. Miskimen:

In answer to your request that the require fee paid for BZA 30-65 be applied to a zoning application on subject property for "BB" Office zoning, the Planning Department has no authorization to reduce required fees or apply fees paid toward one type of application to another.

As to your, and your client's statement that misinformation was given to you in the filing of the BZA case, I wish to point out for your benefit that you were advised correctly as to the two methods in which to apply for the desired parking in the front yard area. The Board of Zoning Appeals does have jurisdiction to grant a variance as requested subject to the finding of certain conditions. In this case, certain conditions were not found to exist, one of which existed prior to the change of State Statutes, and the request for a variance was denied.

The other procedure to achieve parking in the front setback area is to apply for, and have approved for the site in question, the "BB" Office classification. Although we advised you of the correct procedure to follow in applying for either a zone change or a variance, this does not assure you that either request will be granted. Both requests can be denied.

August 31, 1965

If there was any misunderstanding on your part, we are sorry; however, we do not have the authorization to apply the fee required for the BZA case toward a zoning change request.

If you have any questions concerning this matter, please do not hesitate to call.

Sincerely,

Jack H. Galbraith
Senior Planner

JHG:bgs

cc: Dr. L. A. O'Donnell, Sr.
1928 East Kellogg
Wichita, Kansas

August 26, 1965

Dr. L. A. O'Donnell, Sr.
1928 East Kellogg
Wichita, Kansas

Dear Dr. O'Donnell:

Re: BZA 30-65 - Request for
Variance

This is to advise you that at its regular meeting of August 24, 1965, the Board of Zoning Appeals of the City of Wichita, considered your request for a Variance of the front yard setback on property zoned "B" and located generally on the north side of Kellogg between Minneapolis and Minnesota.

It was the action of the Board to deny this request.

Section 2.12.610 of the Code of the City of Wichita provides that the decision of the Board of Zoning Appeals shall be final unless it is appealed to the District Court of Sedgwick County to determine the reasonableness of any such order or determination.

A resolution effectuating the action of the Board will be mailed to you as soon as prepared and signed by the Secretary and Chairman of the Board of Zoning Appeals.

If you have any questions about this matter, please let us know.

Very sincerely yours,

Jack H. Galbraith
Secretary

JHG:JWH:ber

cc: Earl Miskinen
1609 North Gow

Glen Lytle, Superintendent
of Central Inspection

SECRETARY'S REPORT

CASE NO. BZA 30-68

APPLICANT: Dr. L. A. O'Donnell, Sr., 1928 East Kellogg

LOCATION: North side of Kellogg between Minneapolis and Minnesota

REQUEST: Variance pursuant to Section 2.12.590, Code of the City of Wichita, to allow the front yard setback of 20 feet to be utilized for off-street parking.

LAND USE: Located on subject property is an apartment house, which is presently in the process of being razed. To the east is a doctor's office, south is apartment building and church, and west is apartment building and north is fourplex.

ZONING: Subject property is zoned "B" as is that to the north, east and west. South is "LC" and "B".

JURISDICTION

The Board has jurisdiction to consider the variance request under the provisions outlined under Section 2.12.590, Code of the City of Wichita, and may grant the variance when all five of the following conditions can be found to exist:

- a) That the variance requested arises from such condition which is unique to the property in question and which is not ordinarily found in the same zone or district; and is not created by an action or actions of the property owner or the applicant;
- b) That the granting of the permit for the variance will not adversely affect the rights of adjacent property owners or residents;
- c) That the strict application of the provisions of Title 28 of which variance is requested, will constitute unnecessary hardship upon the property owner represented in the application;
- d) That the variance desired will not adversely affect the public health, safety, morals, order, convenience, prosperity, or general welfare; and
- e) That granting the variance desired will not be opposed to the general spirit and intent of Title 28 (Zoning Ordinance.)

NOTE: The new Kansas legislation relating to the Board of Zoning Appeals provides that all five of the foregoing conditions must be found to exist before a variance may be granted. The major changes were made in items a., and e. above.

GENERAL COMMENTS

At the present time there is an apartment building located on this property which is in the process of being razed. It is the intent of the applicant to utilize the lot as an off-street parking lot for his medical office, which is located immediately to the east. The applicant has requested a complete waiver of the front yard setback requirement of 20 feet so that the setback area can be utilized for off-street parking. If the applicant is required to comply with the setback requirement it will eliminate four off-street parking spaces.

UNIQUENESS

The applicant contends that this request is somewhat unique inasmuch as most of the adjacent development in this area along Kellogg is in violation of the 20-foot setback requirement. (This is due to the condemning of property and the widening of Kellogg.) He also points out that this area along Kellogg, although zoned "B" Multiple Family, will most likely in the future be developed for commercial use. It should be noted that if this area is rezoned and develops for commercial use, parking is a permitted use in the front yard setback.

The Secretary is of the opinion that there is nothing unique about this property which is not found on other similar properties zoned "B" Multiple Family, which are situated along major thoroughfares. Although it is true that "BB" Office zoning for the property along Kellogg in this area would more than likely be looked upon with favor, the correct procedure to utilize the front 20 feet for parking is to apply for a zone change to "BB" Office zoning. If the applicant is correct as to the future commercialization of this area, then it is only proper and logical that he apply for a zone change, which would permit parking in the front setback rather than to apply for a variance.

ADJACENT PROPERTY

It is the opinion of the Secretary that the granting of the variance will adversely affect the rights of the adjacent property owners to the west. Although they are not set back the required 20 feet, the setback which exists is maintained as a landscaped yard.

HARDSHIP

The Secretary agrees with the applicant, inasmuch as failure to grant the variance would cause the loss of needed parking space along the front of the applicant's property. However, in the Secretary's opinion, this does not constitute a hardship, because the applicant is not required to provide the parking. The applicant is merely desirous of providing all of the parking that can be placed on the property.

PUBLIC INTEREST

It is the opinion of the Secretary that the granting of the variance will enhance the public interest by providing additional off-street parking in an area where it is critically needed.

INTENT AND PURPOSE OF TITLE 28

It is the opinion of the Secretary that the granting of the variance will be opposed to the spirit and intent of the Ordinance, for the reason that the Ordinance does not permit off-street parking in the front yard of any residential zoning district.

RECOMMENDATION

It is the opinion of the Secretary that there is nothing unique about the property, that true hardship does not exist, that parking in the front setback would have an adverse affect on the adjacent property to the west, and that the spirit and intent of the Zoning Ordinance would be opposed if the variance is granted.

The proper procedure in this instance is for the applicant to request a change of zoning to a "BB" Office classification, where off-street parking is a permitted use in the required front yard setback.

SECRETARY'S REPORT

CASE NO. BZA 30-6

APPLICANT: Dr. L. A. O'Donnell, Sr., 1928 East Kellogg

LOCATION: North side of Kellogg between Minneapolis and Minnesota

REQUEST: Variance pursuant to Section 2,12,590, Code of the City of Wichita, to allow the front yard setback of 20 feet to be utilized for off-street parking.

LAND USE: Located on subject property is an apartment house, which is presently in the process of being razed. To the east is a doctor's office, south is apartment building and church, and west is apartment building and north is fourplex.

ZONING: Subject property is zoned "B" as is that to the north, east and west. South is "LC" and "B".

JURISDICTION

The Board has jurisdiction to consider the variance request under the provisions outlined under Section 2,12,590, Code of the City of Wichita, and may grant the variance when all five of the following conditions can be found to exist:

- a) That the variance requested arises from such condition which is unique to the property in question and which is not ordinarily found in the same zone or district; and is not created by an action or actions of the property owner or the applicant;
- b) That the granting of the permit for the variance will not adversely affect the rights of adjacent property owners or residents;
- c) That the strict application of the provisions of Title 28 of which variance is requested, will constitute unnecessary hardship upon the property owner represented in the application;
- d) That the variance desired will not adversely affect the public health, safety, morals, order, convenience, prosperity, or general welfare; and
- e) That granting the variance desired will not be opposed to the general spirit and intent of Title 28 (Zoning Ordinance.)

Page 2- Secretary's Report
Case No. BZA 30-65

NOTE: The new Kansas legislation relating to the Board of Zoning Appeals provides that all five of the foregoing conditions must be found to exist before a variance may be granted. The major changes were made in items a. and e. above.

GENERAL COMMENTS

At the present time there is an apartment building located on this property which is in the process of being razed. It is the intent of the applicant to utilize the lot as an off-street parking lot for his medical office, which is located immediately to the east. The applicant has requested a complete waiver of the front yard setback requirement of 20 feet so that the setback area can be utilized for off-street parking. If the applicant is required to comply with the setback requirement it will eliminate four off-street parking spaces.

UNIQUENESS

The applicant contends that this request is somewhat unique inasmuch as most of the adjacent development in this area along Kellogg is in violation of the 20-foot setback requirement. (This is due to the condemning of property and the widening of Kellogg.) He also points out that this area along Kellogg, although zoned "B" Multiple Family, will most likely in the future be developed for commercial use. It should be noted that if this area is rezoned and develops for commercial use, parking is a permitted use in the front yard setback.

The Secretary is of the opinion that there is nothing unique about this property which is not found on other similar properties zoned "B" Multiple Family, which are situated along major thoroughfares. Although it is true that "BB" Office zoning for the property along Kellogg in this area would more than likely be looked upon with favor, the current procedure to utilize the front 20 feet for parking is to apply for a zone change to "BB" Office zoning. If the applicant is correct as to the future commercialization of this area, then it is only proper and logical that he apply for a zone change, which would permit parking in the front setback rather than to apply for a variance.

ADJACENT PROPERTY

It is the opinion of the Secretary that the granting of the variance will adversely affect the rights of the adjacent property owners to the west. Although they are not set back the required 20 feet, the setback which exists is maintained as a landscaped yard.

Page 3 - Secretary's Report
Case No. BZA 30-65

HARDSHIP

The Secretary agrees with the applicant, inasmuch as failure to grant the variance would cause the loss of needed parking space along the front of the applicant's property. However, in the Secretary's opinion, this does not constitute a hardship, because the applicant is not required to provide the parking. The applicant is merely desirous of providing all of the parking that can be placed on the property.

PUBLIC INTEREST

It is the opinion of the Secretary that the granting of the variance will enhance the public interest by providing additional off-street parking in an area where it is critically needed.

INTENT AND PURPOSE OF TITLE 28

It is the opinion of the Secretary that the granting of the variance will be opposed to the spirit and intent of the Ordinance, for the reason that the Ordinance does not permit off-street parking in the front yard of any residential zoning district.

RECOMMENDATION

It is the opinion of the Secretary that there is nothing unique about ~~the~~ true hardship does not exist, that parking in the front setback would have an adverse affect on the adjacent property to the west, and that the spirit and intent of the Zoning Ordinance would be opposed if the variance is granted.

~~The~~ procedure in this instance is for the applicant to request a change of zoning to a "BB" Office classification, where off-street parking is a permitted use in the required front yard setback.

EARL D. MISKIMEN

1609 NORTH GOW
WICHITA, KANSAS 67203

JB
Architect

DESIGN DEVELOPMENT
316 WH 3-7314

14 August 1965

Board of Zoning Appeals
Room 402 City Building Annex
104 South Main
Wichita, Kansas

Re: Case No. BZA 30-65

Gentlemen;

An application has been filed requesting a variance of the required front yard setback of 20 feet on property zoned "B" Multiple Family, owned by Dr. L.A. O'Donnell, Sr., and legally described as Lot 13, Block 8, McKnight Place Addition, in the City of Wichita, Sedgwick County, Kansas, so this area may be utilized for off-street parking.

Granting of the permit for the variance should not affect adjacent property owners as existing structures are located inside the required setback, and as the area has a potential of being developed into a commercial area, the granting of this permit for variance would be in accordance with future development.

Strict application of the provisions of the zoning ordinance of which variance is requested will constitute unnecessary hardship upon the property owner represented in the application as it will cause the loss of much space along the front portion of this lot which could be efficiently used as parking.

To use this property to its fullest extent will help reduce on-street parking along this area, resulting in safer, more convenient use of the area.

Therefore, granting the variance should not be opposed to the general spirit and intent of the zoning ordinance.

Sincerely yours,

Earl D. Miskimen
Earl D. Miskimen, ARCHITECT



BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS
Room 402 City Building Annex
104 South Main
Wichita, Kansas

20

August 6, 1965

NOTICE TO ADJOINING PROPERTY OWNERS

Case No. BZA 30-65

An application has been filed by Dr. L. A. O'Donnell, Sr., 1928 East Kellogg, Wichita, Kansas, pursuant to Section 2.12.590, Code of the City of Wichita, requesting a Variance of the required front yard setback of 20 feet so this area may be utilized for off-street parking, on property zoned "B" Multiple Family, and legally described as follows:

Lot 13, Block 8, McKnight Place Addition, in the City of Wichita, Sedgwick County, Kansas

Generally located on the north side of Kellogg between Minneapolis and Minnesota.

This application has been assigned Case No. BZA 30-65, and will be considered by the Board of Zoning Appeals at its meeting on Tuesday, August 24, 1965, at 2 p.m., in Room 401 City Building Annex, 104 South Main, Wichita, Kansas, at which time you may appear, if you so desire, either in person or by agent or attorney.

Jack H. Galbraith
Secretary

JHG:ber

14 notices mailed 8-6-65

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT
Room 402 CITY BUILDING Annex
104 South Main
Wichita, Kansas

August 6, 1955

HARRISON B. BARNETT, Applicant

Case No. 512-55

In application filed with me by Mr. H. B. Barnett, Jr., 1928
West Valley, Wichita, Kansas, pursuant to Section 16-12-500, Code
of the City of Wichita, requesting a Variance of the required front
yard setback of 10 feet to 15 feet for the subject lot situated
within, in property known as "The West Valley, and legally described
as follows:

Lot 12, Block 8, West Valley Subdivision, in the
City of Wichita, Kansas.

Containing 10,000 sq. ft. of land.

This application was filed with me on August 6, 1955, and will
be considered by the Board of Zoning Appeals at its meeting on Tuesday,
August 23, 1955, at 10:00 a.m. in Room 402 City Building Annex, 104 South
Main, Wichita, Kansas, at which time you may appear, in person or through
attorney as provided in paragraph 16-12-500.

W. H. BARNETT
Secretary

Wichita, Kansas

BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS
Room 402 City Building Annex
104 South Main
Wichita, Kansas

August 6, 1965

NOTICE TO ADJOINING PROPERTY OWNERS

Case No. BZA 30-65

An application has been filed by Dr. L. A. O'Donnell, Sr., 1928 East Kellogg, Wichita, Kansas, pursuant to Section 2.12.590, Code of the City of Wichita, requesting a Variance of the required front yard setback of 20 feet so this area may be utilized for off-street parking, on property zoned "B" Multiple Family, and legally described as follows:

Lot 13, Block 8, McKnight Place Addition, in the City of Wichita, Sedgwick County, Kansas

Generally located on the north side of Kellogg between Minneapolis and Minnesota.

This application has been assigned Case No. BZA 30-65, and will be considered by the Board of Zoning Appeals at its meeting on Tuesday, August 24, 1965, at 2 p.m., in Room 401 City Building Annex, 104 South Main, Wichita, Kansas, at which time you may appear, if you so desire, either in person or by agent or attorney.

Jack H. Galbraith
Secretary

JHG:ber

BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS
CITY OF WICHITA, KANSAS

CASE NO. _____

FILED _____

APPLICATION FOR VARIANCE

I. Name of Applicant DR. L. A. O'DONNELL SR.
Mailing Address 1928 EAST KELLOGG Phone FM-34442
Name of Authorized Agent EARL MISKIMEN
Mailing Address 1609 N. GOW Phone WH-37314
Relationship of applicant to property is that of _____
(owner, tenant, lessee, other)

II. The variance requested is VARIANCE OF THE REQUIRED FRONT
YARD SETBACK OF 20 FEET SO THIS AREA MAY BE
UTILIZED FOR OFF-STREET PARKING - SECTION 2.12.590.2
for property located 1920 EAST KELLOGG - NE side of
Kellogg bet Minneapolis & Minnesota
and legally described as: LOTS 13 Block 8 McKNIGHT
PLACE ADD.
in the City of Wichita; and which is presently zoned "B"
(Give metes and bounds description below if appropriate);

III. The applicant herein, or his authorized agent, acknowledges:

- That he has received an instruction sheet concerning the filing and hearing of this matter;
- That he has been advised of the fee requirements established by Section 2.12.580 of the Code of the City of Wichita (Ordinance No. 24-606); and that the appropriate fee is herewith tendered;
- That he has been advised of his right to appeal of the decision of the Board to the City Commission within ten (10) days of that decision;
- That all documents are attached hereto as noted in paragraphs 3 and 4 of the instructions.

L. A. O'Donnell Sr.
Applicant
Earl H. Miskimen
Authorized Agent

OFFICE USE ONLY: Received in office of Secretary, Board of Zoning Appeals,
3:45 (a.m. (P.M.)), AUG. 3, 1965, together with
appropriate fee of \$50.00.

[Signature]
Signed

OWNERSHIP LIST

Lot	Block	Addition	Property Owner
8	8	McKnight Place	✓ Bowman Martin Goldie L. Martin 240 S. Terrace Dr.
9	"	"	✓ Troy L. Turner Betty Lou Turner 407 S. Minnesota
10	"	"	✓ E. H. Erastmann 2320 Hyacinth
11	"	"	<i>no address</i> Henry H. Lane June H. Lane Address unknown
12 exc. 3 7'	"	"	✓ L. A. O'Donnell 1928 E. Kellogg
13 exc. 3 7'	"	"	✓ L. A. O'Donnell Jr. L. A. O'Donnell, Sr. 1928 E. Kellogg
14 exc. 3 7'	"	"	<i>no address</i> Robert E. Smith Lurik Smith Address unknown
15 exc. 3 7'	"	"	✓ Lola M. Foshee 150 N. Ridgewood
16 exc. 3 7'	"	"	✓ John Francis Rich Address unknown <i>2420 Fairview</i>
17	"	"	✓ Myrtle B. Rich 416 S. Minneapolis
18	"	"	✓ Edward E. Williams Mary N. Williams 412 S. Minneapolis
19	"	"	✓ Hazel L. Walsh 408 S. Minneapolis
20	"	"	✓ Maurice A. Gilstrap Mildred M. Gilstrap 378 S. Minneapolis
13	9	"	✓ Carlos A. Stebbins Hawbecker Iva Erettie Hawbecker 404 S. Minnesota
14	"	"	"
15	"	"	✓ Julia C. Maeker 412 S. Minnesota
16	"	"	✓ Ralph Carter Harrison Marion K. Harrison 418 S. Minnesota
17 exc. 3 7'	"	"	<i>no address</i> J. W. Murphy Clare Murphy Address unknown

We, The Security Abstract and Title Company, Inc., hereby certify the foregoing to be a true and correct list of property owners within a 200 foot radius of Lot 13, Block 1, in McKnight Place, an Addition to Wichita, Sedgwick County, Kansas, as shown by the deeds on file in the Office of the Register of Deeds of Sedgwick County, Kansas, on this 3rd day of August, 1965 at 7:00 O'clock A. M.

THE SECURITY ABSTRACT AND TITLE COMPANY, INC.

By

Vice-President

Order No. 127520

Form 223-021

PAYMENT NOTICE
City of Wichita

PAY AT TREASURER'S OFFICE - FIRST FLOOR

Bldg & Elev.	Elec.	Elev. Insp.	Exam. Fees
Hse. Mvr.	Hse. Moving	Licse.	Mech.
Oil Well	Pav. Cuts	Plan.	Plbg. Cert.
Sanitation	Sewer	Signs	Sidewalk
Street	Trailer		

DESCRIPTION AMOUNT

Plan Application 50.00

Name *Carl Johnson*

Address *407 N. Iowa*

Type *K-712* Due Date

Comments:

Date *9-3-65* By *R. Showers*