

<p align="center">East Kellogg Project II 472 84614 Field Check Plan Review</p>					<p>CODES: A. ACCEPT COMMENT—WILL BE CORRECTED, ADDED, OR CLARIFIED. B. DESIGNER WILL EVALUATE. C. DELETE COMMENT D. DEPARTMENT TO EVALUATE</p>	
PB PROJECT NO: 35766A		CITY OF WICHITA PROJECT NO. 472 84614 KDOT PROJECT NO: 54-87 KA-1164-01			REVIEWER(S): Mike Jacobs	DATE: 10/15/10
DESCRIPTION: East Kellogg Project II		REVIEW TYPE: Field Check Plan			DISCIPLINE: Transportation	CRM:
Item No.	Sheet No.	Reviewer	COMMENTS	CODE ⁽²⁾	RESPONSE ⁽²⁾	FINAL DISPOSITION ⁽²⁾
1	1, 160, 284	MGJ	Add "(Kellogg) 127th – 159th" behind US-54.	A	Add "(Kellogg) 127th – 159th" behind US-54.	Added to Title Sheet DJW 11/02/10
2	1	MGJ	Should Landscaping be included in the upper right hand corner?	D	Landscaping is not designated as one of the project types in the KDOT Design Manual – Could add Roadside Design under Sodding	Leave as is JB 11/17/10
3	1	MGJ	The City Engineering Signature Block is not used.	A	Use City of Wichita, City Engineer Signature block	Switched to City of Wichita Engineering Block DJW 11/02/10
4	1	MGJ	Does there need to be more room for the engineers' signatures and seals?	A	See Item #2 and allow room for signatures and seals	There is room for signature and seals DJW 11/02/10
5	1	MGJ	Compare crossovers during construction to drainage inlets. Do we need temporary drainage for crossovers? Check both projects.	B	Plans include initial provisions for temporary drainage during construction and they can be accomplished within the proposed R/W. Temporary drainage provisions will be needed where temporary cross-overs cross ditches, at cross road drainage structures, and at other locations.	During Final Design, continue evaluation of each step in the construction sequence, and development of drainage details to ensure positive drainage during construction. JAW 3/1/11
6	All Sheets	MGJ	See Project I for general comments.	B	Will investigate in further design stages	OK JMB 11/17/10
7	5, 6	MGJ	There needs to be Fly Ash Treated Subgrade in MSE fill sections instead of Lime Treated Subgrade.	D	Pavement section was based off previous section of Kellogg. Pavement Section will be evaluated and recommendation made in next phases of design after geotechnical investigation. At this stage agree that Lime treated subgrade should be changed to Fly Ash treated	Revised Typical Section to show Fly Ash Treated Subgrade JB 11/17/10
8	13	MGJ	Is it correct that we're putting in a Soil Nail Retaining Wall under the K-96 bridges?	B	Yes – designing soil nail retaining wall under the K-96 bridges	Leave as is JB 11/17/10
9	24	MGJ	We will need to break out special quantities for earthwork.	A	Will break out special quantities for earthwork in next phases of design	Leave as is JB 11/17/10
10	33	MGJ	The SF road bridge over the KTA should be widened to accommodate a 10' path.	A	Widen to accommodate 10' bikepath on south side of SFR structure over KTA	Leave as is as per MGJ - MLW 3/1/11

11	105	MGJ	Can you get the manhole in the SE corner of 143rd intersection to the back of curb, or at least out of the brick pavers?	B	Manhole can shift, but wait for coordination w/ other utilities & signalization	JTC 11/22/10
12	112	MGJ	There are two storm drains under the South Frontage Road at about Sta. 1656+00. Why are they not connected?	B	Designed as separate systems which fit ditch system behind curb	JTC 11/22/10
13	117	MGJ	Can you get the manhole in the SE corner of 159th intersection to the back of curb, or at least out of the brick pavers?	B	See comment 11	JTC 11/22/10
14	123	MGJ	Is there a reason why the RCP's go through the walls diagonally by the Kellogg bridge over Fourmile Creek? Do they go under it? Could they cross it at 90°?	B	To coordinate with bridge & wall design	JTC 11/22/10
15	162	MGJ	See Comment No. 8.	B	See comment 8	See comment #8 JB 11/17/10
16	163, 165, 171, 172	MGJ	Are you recommending MSE wall that is subject to water?	B	Walls B & N have finished ground elevations above the 500-yr WSE. Wall K finished ground is below the 500-yr WSE. Revise East abutment along ML to get above 500-yr. WSE	Updated as noted BS 11/30/10
17	170	MGJ	Should there be a barrier or handrail on top of the T-Type Retaining Wall?	B	Handrail is provided where sidewalk is at top of wall. Wall is barrier height above existing ground where sidewalk is in cut.	Leave as is JB 11/17/10
18	170	MGJ	Has Vicki and Kent approved of the shape of the T-Type Retaining Wall?	B	Using city standard wall	Leave as is JB 11/17/10
19	173	MGJ	Why is the bottom of Wall M so deep?	B	Providing minimum depth at ends and only one step on either end of the wall	Leave as is JB 11/17/10
20	174	MGJ	On the profile for Wall N, it looks like the leaders for the Proposed Finish Grade and Existing Ground are pointing to the wrong lines.	A	Move the leaders so they are clearly pointing to the correct lines.	Moved the leaders for clarification DJW 11/03/10
21	177, 178	MGJ	See Comment No. 10.	B	Path is accommodated with bridge as shown – do not show path on plans	OK As is JMB 11/17/10
22	195	MGJ	See Comment No. 14.	B	See comment 14	JTC 11/22/10
23	195	MGJ	Where is the abutment drain outlet? Should you combine it with the storm sewer?	B	To coordinate with bridge design	JTC 11/22/10
24	206	MGJ	What are we doing with the dam by 143rd St.?	B	Dam configuration will be established in conjunction w/ HOA during later design	TKM 3/1/11
25	209	MGJ	Why are the approach slabs for the south side of the 143rd St. bridge angled?	B	Transition for 2' shy line from EOP to barrier	OK as is JMB 11/17/10
26	239	MGJ	The bridges for Kellogg over I-35 from Project I should be shown.	A	Show the Project I bridges.	Updated as per comment MLW 3/1/11
27	239	MGJ	Add the closing lanes on the KTA.	B	To be coordinated in next phase of design	To be coordinated in next phase of design MLW 3/1/11
28	280	MGJ	See Comment No. 24.	B	Dam configuration will be established in conjunction w/ HOA during later design	TKM 3/1/11

29	442	MGJ	What's happening to the neighborhood road just to the south of the project and east of 143rd St.? Do we need to have details for it on our cross sections?	B	Show existing roadway in cross sections	Existing roadway shown no conflict MLW 3/1/11
----	-----	-----	--	---	---	--